Table of Contents

File_1982-0038
Date__7/1/02

Project Name: Lamplite Park - ROW Vacation

		-			•
P r e s	S c a n	A few items are denoted with an asterisk (*), which means the instances, not all entries designated to be scanned by the despecific to certain files, not found on the standard list. For the Remaining items, (not selected for scanning), will be mark	pa is r	rtn reas	nent are present in the file. There are also documents son, a checklist has been provided.
e	n		eu	pr	esent on the checknst. This index can serve as a quick
n t	e d	guide for the contents of each file.			
ſ	u	Files denoted with (**) are to be located using the ISYS (full, as well as other entries such as Ordinances, Resolutions,	Que	ery	System. Planning Clearance will need to be typed in
x		*Summary Sheet – Table of Contents	DU	Jaro	u of Appeals, and etc.
X	X	Review Sheet Summary			
X		Application form	••••		
x		Review Sheets			
		Receipts for fees paid for anything			
		*Submittal checklist			
\vdash		*General project report			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
$\left - \right $		Reduced copy of final plans or drawings			
		Reduction of assessor's map			
\vdash		Evidence of title, deeds			
x	X	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
L-		Public notice cards			
$\left - \right $		Record of certified mail			
x					
		Legal description			
\vdash		Appraisal of raw land			
\vdash		Reduction of any maps – final copy			
		*Final reports for drainage and soils (geotechnical reports)			
		Other bound or nonbound reports			
		Traffic studies			
		Individual review comments from agencies			
	x	*Consolidated review comments list			
	^				
	-	*Staff Reports			
		*Planning Commission staff report and exhibits			
		*City Council staff report and exhibits *Summary sheet of final conditions	••••		
$\left - \right $		*Letters and correspondence dated after the date of final app			(noutoining to shange in conditions on emiration date)
┝──┛		DOCUMENTS SPECIFIC TO TH			
1		DOCUMENTS SPECIFIC TO TH	15		<u>SVELOFMENT FILE:</u>
x	X	Action Sheet		<u> </u>	Γ
X	~				
X		Review Sheets			
X	x	Public Notice Posting – 5/11/82 Planning Commission Minutes - ** - 5/25/82			······································
	X			-	
		flip-flop Lot 41 and the existing stub end of Santa Clara $- 5/20/82$			
X		Development Application – 4/29/82			
\vdash			-	-	
\vdash				<u> </u>	
- 1					
\vdash				 	
			L	L	L

Tilton, Cl. 2959 D¹/₂ Road Grand Junction, CO 81501 #3862 2945-234-00-017 Craig, Eula 119 29 3/4 Road Grand Junction, CO 81503

2945-234-00-019

#3882 2945-234-00-946 Orchard Mesa Irrigation Co.

235 27¹/₄ Road Grand Junction, CO 81501 #3882

2945-234-08-042 Barnes, Hazel 1189 Olson Grand Junction, CO 81503 #3862

2945-243-00-089 Swisher, Jerry L. 311 Pinon Avenue Grand Junction, CO 81501 #3882

Mike Messina Go R. Living ston Mesa United Bank Ctr. City Bison #38-82 Paragion Engineering 7284 Cross roads Bird

81501

#38-82

2945-234-08-041 Bulger, Gregg 1187 Olson Circle Grand Junction, CO 81503

2943-234-08-040 Antenucci, Lawrence 1185 Olson Grand Junction, CO 81501 #38-82

2945-234-08-039 Hays, Jr. Richard 1183 Olson Circle Grand Junction, CO 81503

2945-234-08-038 Black, Jesse 1181 Olson Circle Grand Junction, CO 81503

#3882_

Grand Junction, CO 81503 #38-82_ 2945-234-08-032, 048 J & J Enterprises P.O. Box 2966 Grand Junction, CO 81502

2945-234-08-046

2945-234-08-035

Vigil, Valentine

1175 Olson

Wright, Richard 1175 Santa Clara Avenue Grand Junction, CO 81501 #38-82

#3882

2945-234-08-047 Workman, David 1173 Santa Clara Avenue Grand Junction, CO 81501 #38-82

Lamplite Park

Ζ

REVIEW SHEET SUMMARY

FILE NO	38-82 TITLE HEADI	NG Lamplite Park Subdivision DUE DATE 5/14/82									
		Filing #1									
	ACTIVITY - PETITIONER - LOCATION - PHASE - ACRES <u>Activity: ROW Vacation. Petitioner: Mik</u> e										
Messina. l	Location: All of Sant	a Clara Avenue lying east of Olson Avenue. A request to									
vacate all	te all of Santa Clara Avenue lying east of Olson Avenue within Lamplite Park Subdivision										
Filing #1	ling #1 (as amended.).										
Considerat	nsideration of right of way vacation.										
PETITIONE	ETITIONER ADDRESS c/o R. Livingston, Mesa United Bank Ctr., Grand Junction, CO 81501										
ENGINEER	NGINEER Paragon Engineering, 2784 Crossroads Blvd., Grand Junction, CO 81501										
DATE REC.	AGENCY	COMMENTS									
5/10/82	City Fire This office has no objections to this vacation.										
5/12/82	Mountain Bell	No request.									
5/12/82	Planning Staff Comments	 If vacation approved, a rededication and revised plat will be required for Santa Clara. Development to the east and south should be considered for future access or extensions of R.O.W. prior to vacation approval. Have the people to the east been contacted regarding this vacation and future development proposals? 									
5/12/82	Public Service	4) Need to resolve all other review agency comments. Gas: U.G. electric and gas are in joint trench in 5 ft. easements to the protion of street to be vacated. Recommend that developer reimburse P.S. Co. to cut off and abandon these facilities. Electric: Has existing power line buried North and South in East portion of vacation request, will need to reserve account as indicated an									
5/13/82	Ute Water	easement as indicated on original plat for these lines. The Ute District has water lines within the ROW which would have to be relocated at Petitioner's expense prior to vacation approval by the district.									
5/13/82	0.M. Irriagation	Move all utilities out of road right of way.									
5/13/82	City Engineer	The proposed jogged alignment is poor. This street vacation is not in the best interests of the City and I recommend against it. Santa Clara Avenue need to have continuity to be available to serve the large parcels of undeveloped land (which someday will probably be developed) to the east.									
5/14/82	City Utilities	If Santa Clara is extended to the east this new alignment would result in a poor intersection.									
5/14/82	Trans. Eng.	The extension of Santa Clara Ave. through parcels to the east of Lamplite Sub. is very likely and desirable. To create an offset intersection as proposed by this vacation request would introduce an unnecessary safety problem. Therefore, I would not be in favor of altering the present alignment.									
ρ ·											

Review She

6/8/82

GJPC Minutes of 5/25/82

ances Mailed 5/17/82 MOTION: (COMMISSIONER LITLE) "MADAM CHAIRMAN, IN THE CASE OF FILE #38-82, RIGHT OF WAY VACATION, LAMPLITE PARK SUB-DIVISION FILING #1, I MOVE WE FORWARD THIS TO CITY COUNCIL WITH THE RECOMMENDATION OF DENIAL DUE TO DESIGN QUESTIONS, UNKNOWN FUTURE RAMIFICATIONS OF DEVELOPMENTS TO THE EAST AND NEIGHBORHOOD CONCERNS." COMMISSIONER O'DWYER SECONDED THE MOTION. CHAIRWOMAN QUIMBY REPEATED THE MOTION, CALLED FOR A VOTE, AND THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

RESPONSE TO REVIEW SHEET COMMENTS

File No.: 38-82 Item: Lamplite Park Subdivision Filing #1 Phase: Right-of-Way Vacation Location: All of Santa Clara Avenue lying east of Olson Avenue

RECEIVED MESA COUNTY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT MAY 21 1982

Response

The Orchard Mesa Canal lies $40^{\circ}\pm$ north of the existing north right-of-way for Santa Clara Avenue on the east boundary of Lamplite Subdivision. The petitioner desires to vacate the existing rightof-way, and dedicate a road which will provide the Orchard Mesa Irrigation Company vehicular access to their canal. The petitioner shall bear the expense of any utility relocations, which may be accomplished with no interruption of service to existing homes.

Indicated no objections to the vacation.

Indicated no requests.

1. A rededication of Santa Clara and revised plan for the effected portion of Lamplite Subdivision shall be provided along with revised roadway plans and any other pertinent information.

2. The property to the east of this currently takes access from C Road via a 25' "flag", an undesireable situation. What sort of development may occur on properties east or south of this right-of-way extension is unknown.

3. The property east of this proposal is being provided with access which they did not otherwise have. Their development plans, should they have any, are unknown.

4. Review agency comments shall be resolved.

The mains located within the five foot easements shall be cut off at the petitioners expense.

Agency

General

City Fire

Mountain Bell

Planning Staff

Public Service - Gas

Public Service - Electric

Ute Water

Orchard Mesa Irrigation

City Engineer

City Utilities

Transportation Engineer

States there is an existing buried power line along the east property line of Lamplite Park Subdivision. There shall be a 15 foot utility easement dedicated along that property line.

The subject water lines can be relocated at the petitioner's expense with no interruption of service.

The utilities which are located in the road right-of-way shall either be removed or shall be provided with covering easements.

Continuous right-of-way for Santa Clara Avenue has been provided from Highway 50 to the east boundary of Lamplite Subdivision. In the subdivision, the road is curvilinear in nature. The proposed vacation with the rededication of right-of-way shall not interrupt this continuity of Santa Clara Avenue, with access being provided to the properties east of this project.

The alignment of Santa Clara and Olson Avenue is awkward only in the rightof-way lines. This is an effort to vacate and/or rededicate a minimum of right-of-way. The actual roadways within those sections shall intersect in a manner that is in keeping with the Grand Junction City standards.

Santa Clara Avenue will continue to be given the "straight shot" through this subdivision, with the rededication of right-of-way to the east property line.

Acres Units	vacation	File No. #38 * Zone Tax Parcel Number
Density ActivityAMPL	ITE	FEG
Phase	·	VACATION - 75
Common Location <u>E</u> .	of Santa Clara Dru	•
Date Submitted 5382	Date Mailed Out 514 82	Date Posted 514 82 Caller
day Review Period Return Date Adjacent Property Owners Notlfied	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	nformation Sent
review agencies —	A B C D E F G H I J K L M N O P Q	R S T U V W X Y Z AA BB CC DD EE
Development Dept.		
County Health County Surveyor		
County Parks/Recreation County Engineer		
Transportation Engineer City Engineer 250-8		
City Utilities City Parks/Recreation City Police Dept.		
County Sheriff		
¥		
Fire (Hy		
Obrainage Vater (Ute) Clifton)		
Ostate Highway Dept.		
Ostate Health Dept.		
Water & Power Resources Hack, Loma, Mesa, Collbran,		
Pruita, Palisade, Grand Jct.		
11 CILENT		
totals	9	12 2 2 1 29
	Daniel design / dev to	esst / neighbor hood concur
ASPC 45/25/82 CC 6/16/62	1 Monored 1	ktim by CC
		· · · · ·
Ш	·	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
11 11	·	
٨	for pol at sub	mettal.
5		£
- 		
Open Space Dedicat. Recording Fee Regu		equired \$ Faid Receipt # Date Recorded
County Developmen Departmen		Date Resolution Mailed Pre-application Fee Receipt No.

GOLDEN, MUMBY, SUMMERS & LIVINGSTON

ATTORNEYS AT LAW MESA UNITED BANK CENTER-2808 NORTH AVENUE P. O. BOX 398 GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO 81502

AREA CODE 303 TELEPHONE 242-7322

May 20, 1982

Grand Junction Planning Commission City Hall 5th and Rood Grand Junction, CO 81501

Re: #38-82 ROW Vacation

Dear Commission Members:

JAMES GOLDEN

KEITH G. MUMBY

K. K. SUMMERS

I would like to take the opportunity to explain to you the reason behind our application for right of way vacation.

When Lamp Lite Park was platted some problems arose between the engineer and the Orchard Mesa Irrigation District regarding ditch changes, line maintenance, etc. After two years of "hassel", these problems have all been resolved with one exception. The exception arises from the fact that the District needs to get to its ditch north and east of Lamp Lite for maintenance purposes. Unfortunately, the equipment used by the District is cumbersome and has difficulty making the turn at the end of Santa Clara as platted.

We are proposing to "flip-flop" Lot 41 and the existing stub end of Santa Clara. The stub is unpaved presently and, I believe, was provided for future access. Our new proposal will shift this future access 50 feet north of its present location. I am not an expert in traffic safety, but I do not believe the curve created by the change will result in a major traffic problem. I believe Unaweep to be the major thoroughfare in this area and any future use of Santa Clara will be secondary in nature.

In conclusion, we are trying to solve a problem created for the District by the development of Lamp Lite. Obviously, we do not wish to create any problems for the neighborhood in the process.

Your consideration of the application is appreciated.

Sincerely,

GOLDEN, MUMBY, SUMMERS & LIVINGSTON

J. Richard Livingston

JRL/ald

cc: Mike Messina W. R. Bray Jon Abrahamson



MAY 25 1982

CITY - COUN'TY PLANNING DEPARTMENT