Table of Contents

File	e <u>19</u>	Name: <u>Scotty's M</u>	uffle	<u>:r/C</u> ;	ar Wash – 427 S. 4 th St. /405 Pitkin Av	
P r e s e n t	S c a n e d	A few items are denoted with an asterisk (*), which means the instances, not all entries designated to be scanned by the de- specific to certain files, not found on the standard list. For this Remaining items, (not selected for scanning), will be mark guide for the contents of each file. Files denoted with (**) are to be located using the ISYS (full, as well as other entries such as Ordinances, Resolutions,	par s re ed j Que	tm easo pre ry	ent are present in the file. There are also documents on, a checklist has been provided. esent on the checklist. This index can serve as a quick System. Planning Clearance will need to be typed in	
X	X	Table of Contents				
		Review Sheet Summary				
		Application Form				
		Review Sheets				
		Receipts for fees paid for anything			······································	
		*Submittal checklist				
		*General project report			······································	
		Reduced copy of final plans or drawings				
X		Reduction of assessor's map.				
		Evidence of title, deeds, easements			. (
		*Mailing list to adjacent property owners				
·		Public notice cards				
		Record of certified mail				
		Legal description	-			
		Appraisal of raw land				
		Reduction of any maps – final copy				
		*Final reports for drainage and soils (geotechnical reports)				
		Other bound or non-bound reports				
		Traffic studies				
		*Petitioner's response to comments				
		*Staff Reports				
		*Planning Commission staff report and exhibits				
		*City Council staff report and exhibits				
		*Summary sheet of final conditions				
	*Letters and correspondence dated after the date of final approval (pertaining to change in conditions or expiration date					
DOCUMENTS SPECIFIC TO THIS DEVELOPMENT FILE:						
X	X	Planning Clearance - ** - 1988, 1989	Γ		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
X	X	Fence Permit - ** - (1) - 1989				
X	X	Sign Permit - ** - (3) - 1990				
X X		Handwritten Notes Memo from Dan Wilson to Jim Shanks re: inquiring if issues are resolved on	ļ	<u> </u>		
		fence $-1/23/90$				
X	X	Memo from Jim Shanks to Dan Wilson re: issuance of a fence permit - 1/23/90				
X	X	Memo from Dave Thornton to Dan Wilson re: parking spaces - 1/29/90				
┝──┤						
- ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			۱ ۱	
┝─┥						
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
┠─┥		······································	-			
┟──┤			+	-		
┝╌┥		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	\vdash		
			L			
I T		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	1		

Electronic Mail

Sent To : DANW Sent By : JIMS Sent Date: 1/23/90

Subject: Scotty Muffler - We issued a fence permit (I'm providing you a copy) for Stout Electric in October, 1989. They plan to construct a cinder block wall along a portion of their north property line. There is no setback requirement in this zone. They will also provide a screened fence on the west side of their property between their building and the block wall. They have not yet constructed any of the improvements shown on the plan. As far as I know, everything is in order regarding the fencing. Another issue that was raised by Scottys regarded parking along 4th St. south of the alley. Scottys had complained that Stout electric was occasionally parking vehicles between the curb and the sidewalk on the east side of 4th St. south of the alley. They were concerned that parked vehicles blocked the vision of vehicles Dave Tontoli has talked to Stout Electric regarding the exiting the alley. parking, and Dan Wilson has had some contact with the attorney for Scottys (Joe Croaker). I haven't heard that the parking problem has persisted. I went by there this morning and there were no vehicles parked in the area between the walk and the street. copy: danw, karlm

Electronic Mail

Sent To : DANW Sent By : JIMS Sent Date: 1/23/90

Subject: Scotty Muffler & Lube, 405 Pitkin, conflict to: JimS from: MarkA 1/23/90

I thought I had previously sent a memo on this but can find no record of it. Willy Schuman (spelling?) complained about two months ago of a conflict with the commercial property south of Scotty's, which Schuman owns.

The neighbor apparently ojects to the traffic exiting Scotty's via the alley between Pitkin and South. It appears that Scotty's customers with larger trucks may cross a portion (a few feet) of the neighbor's property. Schuman was concerned because the neighbor was seeking approval to fence his property at the alley line to make it more difficult for Schuman's customers. Has this issue been resolved or where does it stand and what is our

position/involvement?

c: KarlM

\$

30 darp ago Mita was in; 2. build force > r1 Mille Shuwan called : since, It Kuhn, he said woned more fence bach 51; 427 South

Electronic Mail - MEMO

Created By: DAVIDT

Gubject: Number of Parking Stalls needed for Scotty's Muffler expansion. To: Dan Wilson Trom: Dave Thornton Date: January 29, 1990`

Auestion:

How many parking spaces will the City require Scotty's Muffler to have for their new larger unit lube operation and automatic carwash to be located lown the street from their current operation?

Answer: 5-5-1.I.23 of code - car establishmments are required to have 5 parking spaces per 1,000 feet of gross floor area. This requirement would cover the needs for the lube operation, but we need more information on the carwash to determine the number of parking spaces we would require. We need a site plan showing the number of bays, circulation and access, amount of space being provided for stacking of vehicles waiting, etc.? Is the carwash going to be automatic or self? Is the carwash only going to be used for lube customers or by lube customers? From past cases on other car washes these lissues were addressed during the beginning stages of each project with the developer.

If you have any further questions please get ahold of me.

ś