

Electronic Mail

Sent To : DANW
Sent By : JIMS
Sent Date: 1/23/90

Subject: Scotty Muffler - We issued a fence permit (I'm providing you a copy) for Stout Electric in October, 1989. They plan to construct a cinder block wall along a portion of their north property line. There is no setback requirement in this zone. They will also provide a screened fence on the west side of their property between their building and the block wall. They have not yet constructed any of the improvements shown on the plan. As far as I know, everything is in order regarding the fencing. Another issue that was raised by Scottys regarded parking along 4th St. south of the alley. Scottys had complained that Stout electric was occasionally parking vehicles between the curb and the sidewalk on the east side of 4th St. south of the alley. They were concerned that parked vehicles blocked the vision of vehicles exiting the alley. Dave Tontoli has talked to Stout Electric regarding the parking, and Dan Wilson has had some contact with the attorney for Scottys (Joe Croaker). I haven't heard that the parking problem has persisted. I went by there this morning and there were no vehicles parked in the area between the walk and the street.

copy: danw, karlm

Electronic Mail

Sent To : DANW
Sent By : JIMS
Sent Date: 1/23/90

Subject: Scotty Muffler & Lube, 405 Pitkin, conflict
to: JimS
from: MarkA
1/23/90

I thought I had previously sent a memo on this but can find no record of it. Willy Schuman (spelling?) complained about two months ago of a conflict with the commercial property south of Scotty's, which Schuman owns.

The neighbor apparently objects to the traffic exiting Scotty's via the alley between Pitkin and South. It appears that Scotty's customers with larger trucks may cross a portion (a few feet) of the neighbor's property. Schuman was concerned because the neighbor was seeking approval to fence his property at the alley line to make it more difficult for Schuman's customers.

Has this issue been resolved or where does it stand and what is our position/involvement?

c: KarlM

1: Memo "SCOTTY2" from MARKA

Wednesday, Jan 24 08:30 am

#####

Subject: DanW, my impression is that the proposed cinder block wall north of Stout's will be just another round in this conflict and that we can expect more action if the basic conflicts between the two cannot be resolved. Do you understand the issues, I don't? mka 1/24

#####

Please enter a command. Press <F1> for help.

> r1
>

Mike Shuman called;
3 days ago MKA was in;
since, Lt Kuhn,
he said would move fence back 5';
427 South

Electronic Mail - MEMO

Created By: DAVIDT
Memo Name : SCOTTY'S

Subject: Number of Parking Stalls needed for Scotty's Muffler expansion.
To: Dan Wilson
From: Dave Thornton
Date: January 29, 1990

Question:

How many parking spaces will the City require Scotty's Muffler to have for their new larger unit lube operation and automatic carwash to be located down the street from their current operation?

Answer: 5-5-1.1.23 of code - car establishments are required to have 5 parking spaces per 1,000 feet of gross floor area. This requirement would cover the needs for the lube operation, but we need more information on the carwash to determine the number of parking spaces we would require. We need a site plan showing the number of bays, circulation and access, amount of space being provided for stacking of vehicles waiting, etc.? Is the carwash going to be automatic or self? Is the carwash only going to be used for lube customers or by lube customers? From past cases on other car washes these issues were addressed during the beginning stages of each project with the developer.

If you have any further questions please get ahold of me.

Thanks,


Dave