# **Table of Contents**

#### File\_1990-0027 A few items are denoted with an asterisk (\*), which means they are to be scanned for permanent record on the in some с instances, not all entries designated to be scanned by the department are present in the file. There are also documents a specific to certain files, not found on the standard list. For this reason, a checklist has been provided. n Remaining items, (not selected for scanning), will be marked present on the checklist. This index can serve as a quick n guide for the contents of each file. e d Files denoted with (\*\*) are to be located using the ISYS Query System. Planning Clearance will need to be typed in full, as well as other entries such as Ordinances, Resolutions, Board of Appeals, and etc. XX **Table of Contents Review Sheet Summary** Application Form **Review Sheets** x Receipts for fees paid for anything \*Submittal checklist \*General project report Reduced copy of final plans or drawings Reduction of assessor's map. Evidence of title, deeds, easements XX \*Mailing list to adjacent property owners Public notice cards Record of certified mail X Legal description Appraisal of raw land Reduction of any maps – final copy \*Final reports for drainage and soils (geotechnical reports) Other bound or non-bound reports Traffic studies X X \*Petitioner's response to comments X X \*Staff Reports \*Planning Commission staff report and exhibits \*City Council staff report and exhibits X X \*Summary sheet of final conditions \*Letters and correspondence dated after the date of final approval (pertaining to change in conditions or expiration date) **DOCUMENTS SPECIFIC TO THIS DEVELOPMENT FILE:**

			Tr	37	
		Action Sheet – Approved 5/8/91	X	X	
X	X	Review Sheet Summary	X		Sign Diagrams
X		Review Sheets	X		Request for Treasurer's Certificate of Taxes Due – 5/21/90
Х		Development Application – 5/23/90	Χ		Commitment for Title Insurance Company – 5/15/90
Х	X	Fence Permit – 6/3/91	X	X	Ordinance No. 2483 - **
X	X	City Council Minutes - ** - 7/18/90, 8/1/90, 8/15/90, 10/17/90	X		Notice of Public Hearing – 7/10/83
X	X	Minor Change fee - \$50.00	X		Daily Sentinel Article – "Taco Bell Rezoning OK'd"
X		Certificate of Occupancy – 6/3/96	Х	X	Floor Plan
X	X	Development Summary – 7/10/90	X	X	Exterior Elevations
X		City Council Workshop Agenda- 7/16/90, 10/15/90	X	X Grading and Drainage Plan – Parking Lot and Bldg site-6/8/90 6/13/90, 6/30/90	
X		Final City Council Agenda – 7/18/90, 8/1/90	X	X	Revised Landscape Plan – Taco Bell Rebuild Plan – 6/8/90, 6/12/90, 7/4/90, 8/29/90
X		Preliminary City Council Agenda – 10/17/90	X		Lighting Analysis
X	T	Public Notice Posting – 7/20/90	X	X	Lighting Plan
X	X	Signed Petition regarding entrance exit to Taco Bell – 7/16/90	X		Letter from Howard Roberts and Lisa Roberts to City Council re: requesting a 14 day deadline to file an appeal on the plan to construct parking $lot - 7/13/90$

Name Taco Bell - C-1 & RSF-8 to PB

X	Х ¢	Letter from Gregory Jouflas to City Cound against parking lot – 7/16/90	X	X	Letter from Junn, District ROW Engineer to Michael Saelens re: sign regulations – 8/2/90
X		Appendum to rezone for Taco Bell – original sent to City Clerk – recorded Book 1809 Page 856 – 8/30/90 - **	X		Letter from Walter D. Carnett to Moss, Inc. re: present market value - 5/18/90
x	x	Memo from Dave Thornton to File – Taco Bell received State Highway's response to development in ROW along North Avenue, their letter mentions sign regulation – 9/25/90	X		Pictures
	X	Memo from Greg Trainor to Tim Woodmansee re: request for revocable permit - 10/11/90	X	X	record)
X	X	Letter from Dave Thornton to Mike Saelens, Moss Inc. re: site inspection by Don Newton – 6/4/91	X	X	
X	X	Letter from Michael Saelens, Real Estate & Dev., Moss Inc. to Dave Thornton re: comments to City's concerns – 6/7/91			
x	x				
		reconstructed as promised and needs to be constructed as soon as possible-9/0/91			
	•	-			
			-		
<u> </u>					
<u> </u>					
			-		
			<u> </u>		
	<u> </u>				y
			1		
			<u> </u>		
E					
$\vdash$					
	<b> </b>		<b>†</b>		
<u> </u>			1		
-			╞		
			<b> </b>		
-	├			<u> </u>	
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		
	1				
-	<u>+</u>		-	$\left  \right $	
F	F		<b> </b> _	<b>—</b>	
			1		

ROJECT NARRATIVE NORTH AVE. TACO BELL

#27 90

Original Do NOT Remove From Office

Moss Inc. proposes to rezone the property located at 845 thru 865 Glenwood Ave. from RSF-8 to PB. We also propose to rezone 848 North Ave. from C-1 to PB., this property is now occupied by Taco Bell. Our proposal is to make all of this property a PB development for the new Taco Bell restaurant to be built.


We are presently in the process of purchasing the lots on Glenwood Ave. We have a contract interest in said property and are scheduled to close on or before August 1, 1990, if we obtain the rezone. Moss Inc. presently leases the property on North Ave. We have leased it for approximately 19 years. However we are also going to purchase this property and build a newer and larger restaurant in 1991.

We intend to begin building this project in June of 1991. There will be no phasing to the project. We intend to complete the project in approximately 90 days. The project will include developing the three (3) lots on Glenwood into a parking area and small park area. Our plan is to do extensive landscaping. On the North Ave property we plan to construct a new Taco Bell restaurant which will seat approximately 80 people. We will also do extensive paving and landscaping.

The area impacted by the project will be the North Ave. corridor and exit on to Glenwood Ave.

This project will allow extra parking for the Taco Bell restaurant which has been needed for quite some time. The new Taco Bell restaurant will be the newest and largest Taco Bells presently being built, which will help modernize and update the appearance of the North Ave. corridor. The project will take three (3) lots on Glenwood Ave. which are currently in dirt and unmaintained, and develop these parcels into a very attractive and landscaped parking and park area. The renovation of the existing Taco Bell will we project increase overall sales, which will increase our city tax base, which will help the public.

The North Ave. property is currently zoned commercial and has been used as such by Taco Bell for 19 years. The property to the East & West & South of Taco Bell is currently zoned commercial.



-----

#### UIKECHUNAL SIGNS

# 16" x 36%" DF C-P Anchor Bolt Mounted Directional Sign

Double face sign with flat pan polycarbonate faces. Choice of seven standard copy selections plus arrow and Taco Bell logo. Can be ordered in any combination.

Sign box is of extruded aluminum and is constructed to meet 35 PSF wind load. Electrical meets standards of National Electric Code and Underwriters Laboratories, Inc. Sign bears U.L. label.

Sign is supplied with a 3" x 3" square steel tube with base plate.

Foundation supplied by General Contractor. Four  $\mathcal{V}^{\prime\prime}$  anchor bolts required.

#### **Electrical Specifications:**

(2) 36T12 H/O C.W. (1) Jefferson 256-1311 1.17 AMPS Lamps: Ballast: Conn. Load: (1) 15 AMP Circuits: Sliding face


Service sign by: Lifting Weight: 28 lbs.

DO NOT ENTER

THANK

ίOU

WANK CO



Original Do NOT Remove From Office

 $\mathbf{N}$ 


16"

40"

 $\mathcal{Q}$ 2

議会 ( ni Mic

# - BUILDING MOUNTED SIGNS -



# B-1 72 Square Feet 6'-1%" x 12'-0¾" Mission Building Sign

Single face sign with one-piece, prescreened face of polycarbonate, pan embossed. Sign box is of extruded aluminum and designed to meet 30 PSF wind load. Electrical meets standards of National Electric Code and Underwriters Laboratories, Inc. Sign bears U.L. label.

#### **Electrical Specifications:**

Lamps:	F36T12 H/O C.W. (1)
	F48T12 H/O C.W. (2)
	F64T12 H/O C.W. (1)
	F72T12 H/O C.W. (6)
Ballast:	Jefferson 262-272 (1)
	Jefferson 262-472 (2)
	Jefferson 262-001 (6)
Conn. Lood:	7.5 AMPS
Circuits:	15 AMP (1)
	. ,

Service sign by: Remove Face Lifting Weight: 550 lbs.



· 6

## B-2 17 Square Feet 2' x 3' Mini Bell with 15" Neonized Letters

#### Mini Bell

 $2' \times 3'$  Mini Bell logo is a single face sign with one-piece, prescreened face of polycarbonate, pan embossed. Sign box is extruded aluminum with Kydex back and designed to meet 30 PSF wind load. Electrical meets standards of National Electrical Code and bears U.L. label.

#### **Electrical Specifications:**

Lamps:	U6-36T12 H/O C.W. (2) U6-24T12 H/O C.W. (2)
Ballast:	F24T12 H/O C.W. (1) Jefferson 262-448 (1) Jefferson 262-001 (2)
Conn. Load:	2.0 AMPS
Circuits:	15 AMP (1)
Service sign by:	42 lbs.
Lifting Weight:	Remove Face

#### Letters \_

Individually mounted neonized embossed letters, internally illuminated with remote transformer baxes behind wall. Individual letters are 15" high and the entire unit covers 8'-9" in lenath.


#### **Electrical Specifications:**

Transformer:	France 7560-P (2)
Lamps:	12 MM Neon Tubing
Voltage:	120 Volts
Total Conn. Load:	4.0 AMPS
Circuit:	20 AMP (1)
Service sign by: Lifting Weight:	Remove faces
Litting weight:	TACO- 80 lbs., BELL- 80 lbs.

Original Do NOT Remove From Office ∦ 27 9

Carlo Carlo

Plasti-Line, Inc.





Plasti-Line, Inc.

`÷

# - MONUMENT SIGNS -

## M-5 20 Square Feet 3'-9" x 5'-3" DF T-P Monument Sign


Double face sign with one-piece, prescreened logo faces of polycarbonate. Logo faces are pan embossed with white border. Appendage faces are one-piece, prescreened polycarbonate and are embossed with white border. Optional copy reading: DRIVE THRU or WELCOME.

Sign box is extruded aluminum and constructed to meet 35 PSF wind load. Electrical meets standards of National Electric Code and bears U.L. label. Support columns are 3" x 2" tube steel.

Textured base supplied by General Contractor.

#### **Electrical Specifications:**

Lomps: Ballast: Conn. Load: Circuits: Service sign by: Lifting Weight: F60T12 H/O C.W. (4) Jefferson 262-460 (1) Jefferson 262-001 (2) 2.3 AMPS @ 120 V., 60 Hz 15 AMP (1) Hinged Face 231 lbs.



# M-1 32 Square Feet 7'-2" x 4'-7½" DF C-P Monument Sign

Double face sign with one-piece, prescreened logo faces of polycarbonate. Logo faces are pan embossed with white border. Appendage faces are one-piece, prescreened polycarbonate and are embossed with white border. Optional copy reading: DRIVE THRU or WELCOME.

Sign box is extruded aluminum and constructed to meet 30 PSF wind load. Electrical meets standards of National Electric Code and bears U.L. label. Support column is 10" x 10" square steel tube.

Textured base is supplied by General Contractor.

# **Electrical Specifications:**

7'-1"

**DRIVE THRU** 


10-0"

÷.

Lamps: 84T12 H/O C.W. (4) Ballast: Jefferson 262-484-100 Conn. Load: 3.85 AMPS Circuits: (1) 15 AMP Service sign by: Hinged face Lifting Weight: 377 lbs.


Original Do NOT Remays From Office


90




NOMINAL SIZE	MAXIMUM TRENCH WIDTH	MINIMUM TRENCH WIDTH
OF PIPE	AT TOP OF PIPE	AT PIPE SPRINGLINE
LESS THAN 18"	PIPE O.D. + 18"	PIPE 0.D. + 12"
18" THRU 36"	PIPE O.D. + 24"	PIPE 0.D. + 18"

.









222 South Sixth Street, P.O. Box 2107 Grand Junction, Colorado 81502-2107 (303) 248-7208



August 2, 1990

Michael Saelens Real Estate and Development Moss Inc. Sierra Bells Inc. 715 Horizon Drive, Suite 380 Grand Junction, CO 81506

Dear Mr. Saelens:

This letter is to acknowledge receipt of your letter dated July 23, 1990, requesting permission to landscape within State Highway right of way.

At this time, the City of Grand Junction has jurisdiction over any right of way behind the curb line of State Highway 6 (North Avenue) with the exception of advertising signs.

The large type "A" sign of your revised landscape plan can not be placed on right of way under current policy and regulation. The smaller type "C" signs can be installed providing there is no advertising message included on them and they do not restrict sight distance to less than 500 feet in either direction from either access.

If you have further questions, please contact this office. A copy of the State Rules and Regulations for Outdoor Advertising is enclosed.

Yours truly,

R. P. MOSTON DISTRICT ENGINEER

By

C. I. Dunn, Jr. District ROW Engineer

EKD:rb Enc. cc: Sanburg Dry



#### MEMORANDUM

To: Greg Trainor

From: Tim Woodmansee

Date: October 11, 1990

Subj: Request for Revocable Permit

John and Judy Moss, owners of the Taco Bell on North Avenue, are requesting a Revocable Permit to allow the installation of signage and landscape improvements in the unused right-of-way for North Avenue and Glenwood Avenue.

All landscape improvements and sign locations were presented and recommended for approval by staff during the recent rezoning process. The Revocable Permit, therefore, will formally allow use of the right-of-way as contemplated during the rezoning procedures.

Since the request involves two separate roadways, I am recommending the issuance of two separate permits so that revocation of one will not affect the status of the other.

This item is to be included on the October 17 Council agenda.

cc: Mark Achen Dan Wilson Don Newton Marty Currie Eileen List Neva Lockhart Violet L. Owen 177 Edlum Rd. Grand Junction, CO 81503 2945-141-03-001

BI- Mesa Investments, Inc. P.O. Box 216 Clifton, CO 81520 2945-141-03-004

City Market P.O. Box 729 Grand Junction, CO 81502 2945-141-03-005

BI-Mesa Investments P.O. Box 216 Clifton, Co 81520 2945-141-03-007

City Market P.O. Box 729 Grand Junction, CO 81502 2945-141-03-008

Violet L. Owen C/O City Market P.O. Box 729 Grand Junction, CO 81502 2945-141-03-009

MSSW, Inc. P.O. Box 2797 Grand Junction, CO 81502 2945-141-04-022

MSSW. Inc. P.O. Box 2797 Grand Junction, CO 81502 2945-141-04-025

Central Bank Trustee For Roy VanZante P.O. Box 608 Grand Junction, CO 81502 2945-114-19-001 Central Bank Trustee for Roy VanZante P.O. Box 608 Grand Junction, CO 81502 2945-114-19-002

Jack A. & W. Avis Brown 825 Glenwood Grand Junction, CO 81502 2945-114-19-003

Merrilyn L. & Frank W. Turman 825 Glenwood Grand Junction, CO 81502 2945-114-19-003

Russell K. & Gloria L. Parry 835 Glenwood Grand Junction, CO 81502 2945-114-19-004

Amora L. Bley & W. Jo Cortese 407 North 7 Street Grand Junction, CO 81502 2945-114-19-005


Amora L. Bley & W. Jo Cortese " 407 North 7 Street Grand Junction, CO 81502 2945-114-19-006

Amora L. Bley & W. Jo Cortese 407 North 7 Street Grand Junction, CO 81502 2945-114-19-007

Patricia L. Robinson P.O. Box 523 Meeker, CO 81641 2945-114-19-008

John P. Canning P.O. Box 40241 Grand Junction, CO 81504 2945-114-19-009

Fannie R. Susman 2015 North 5 Street Grand Junction, CO 81501 2945-114-19-010



Darrell C. Maluy 548 Glenwwod Drive Grand Junction, CO 81503 2945-114-19-011

Kip & Wendy Koski 0010 Fender Lane Carbondale, CO 81623 2945-114-19-012

Newton L. Koser 2360 S. Garfield Denver, CO 80210 2945-114-19-021

Fern W. Moss Trustee of Moss Family Trust 11610 Tulane Riverside, CA 92507 2945-114-19-023

Velva V. Carnes 212 Country Club Park Grand Junction, CO 81503 2945-114-19-017

Modern Federal Savings & Loan C/O Mitchell & Brian Schumaker P.O. Box 160 Grand Junction, CO 81502 2945-114-19-018

Mary E. & Robert Taylor 763 Glenwood Grand Junction, CO 81502 2945-114-18- 001

Rankin & Co. Inc. 1043 North Ave. Grand Junction, CO 81501 2945-114-18-010

Lowell W. Laycock 805 Bunting Ave. Grand Junction, CO 81501 2945-114-17-001

Judith K. Moran 815 Bunting Ave. Grand Junction, CO 81501 2945-114-17-002 Sieghardt & Elvaretta F. Klaus 825 Bunting Ave. Grand Junction, CO 81501 2945-114-17-003

Donald B. & Geneva N. Hoisington William J. & M. A. Ruppert 833 Bunting Ave. Grand Junction, CO 81501 2945-114-17-004

Dorothy Jean Robbins 1075 21 1/2 Road Rt. #2 Grand Junction, CO 81505 2945-114-17-005

Albert & Eloy G. Garcia 853 Bunting Ave. Grand Junction, CO 81501 2945-114-17-006

Verna A Bennett 859 Bunting Ave. Grand Junction, CO 81501 2945-114-17-007

Jerrold T. Lynch 867 Bunting Ave. Grand Junction, CO 81501 2945-114-17-018

A. M. & F. M. Hannewald 875 Bunting Ave. Grand Junction, CO 81501 2945-114-17-008

George C. Jouflas 1275 Cannell Ave. Grand Junction, CO 81501 2945-114-17-009

August & Ann M. Pantuso 887 Bunting Ave. Grand Junction, CO 81501 2945-114-17-010

St. Mathews Parish P.O. Box 766 Grand Junction, CO 81501 2945-114-17-952

St. Mathews Episcopal Church P.O. Box 766 Grand Junction CO 81501 2945-114-17-951

856 Glenwood Ave. Grand Junction, CO 81501 2945-114-17-012

Wm. J. & Marjorie A. Ruppert 856 Glenwood Ave Grand Junction, CO 81501 2945-114-17-013

Mary E. Berry, et al 836 Glenwood Ave. Grand Junction, CO 81501 2945-114-17-014

Paula B. & Gretchen Loshbaugh 824 Glenwood Ave. Grand junction, CO 81501 2945-114-17-015

Stanley C. & Barbara L. Forrest 814 Glenwood Ave. Grand junction, Co 81501 2945-114-17-016

George T. & Mary Ann Ryan 804 Glenwood Ave. Grand Junction, CO 81501 2945-114-17-017

Dorothy M. Laycock 760 Glenwood Ave. Grand Junction, CO 81501 2945-114-16-015

Howard & Helene Y. Shevlin 936 North Ave. Grand Junction, CO 81501 2945-114-22-012

Medford Gerard Klein, et al 715 Victor Dr. Grand Junction, CO 81506 2945-114-22-013

Martin A. & Carol Marilyn Wenger 1132 Cannell Ave. Grand Junction, CO 81501 2954-114-22-014

Leonard D. & I. J. Pepper 1142 Cannell Ave. Grand Junction, CO 81501 2945-114-22-015

Phyllis M. Carroll 1220 Cannell Ave. Grand Junction, CO 81501 2945-114-22-016

Clark L. & Jeanne R. Carroll 1240 Cannell Ave. Grand Junction, CO 81501 2945-114-22-017 2

# liginal Do NOT Remove 90 From Office >

# Staff Report for Taco Bell

#### File # 27-90 <u>REZONE</u> 850 North Avenue from C-1 to PB 845, 865 & 875 Glenwood Ave. from RSF-8 to PB

Petitioner wants to build a new modern Taco Bell Restaurant just west of their present building and redesign the drive-thru for better stacking of cars, then remove the old building and redesign the parking lot accordingly. The new building will seat 77 people inside with the potential of 26 seats in an outdoor dining area. By code a restaurant must provide 1 parking space per 3 persons designed seating capacity. With the new building built, only 19 spaces will fit on their lot. To provide for the remaining customer parking required and employee parking, the petitioner is requesting a rezone for the property across the alley at 845, 865 & 875 Glenwood Avenue for 38 parking spaces. This would give them a total of 57 spaces.

The North Avenue Corridor Guidelines allow office and multifamily uses on properties South of Glenwood Avenue. Thus Taco Bell's expansion of their parking area across the alley but still South of Glenwood Avenue is a compatible use. They are seeking a Planned Business (PB) zoning for the whole Taco Bell development.

SERTS

1.) Petitioner originally wanted 2 exits onto Glenwood Ave. We requested they provide only 1 entrance/exit onto Glenwood Ave. The City Engineer wants an exit onto Glenwood Ave to help disperse traffic during peak periods and not force traffic to use the alley.

requested

>

2.) To help make this parking area be more compatible to this residential neighborhood, we required: -- extensive landscaping along Glenwood Avenue.

-- 20' buffer between street and parking area. -- buffer area will be 3' high with 2' tall shrubs on top to create a 5' landscaped barrier.

-- 6' privacy fence along East and West property line to screen parking from adjacent residential properties.

-- A 12' strip of landscaping will extend from inside the fences to parking area on both the East and West.

-- parking lot will have a minimal impact on Glenwood Ave. due to:

- many customers will use alley for access to either parking area.

- 50% of Taco Bell's business is through their drive-through which exits onto North Avenue.

- there are currently 19 spaces located on the North Avenue site, using the code requirement of 1 space per 3 persons as an average, 57 people could be inside the restaurant and park on the existing site.

- the Glenwood Avenue site will be for employee parking and an overflow for overflow customers during peak periods.

- currently the employees are parking in the dirt lot which is accessed off the alley and Glenwood Ave. Under the current zoning this is illegal and will have to cease.

# Lode Violation

3.) Parking is already a problem along Glenwood due to Mesa College students parking there. If Taco Bell is not allowed to build this parking lot they will have to cease all parking on the dirt lot now being used and park on Glenwood Ave. further complicating traffic concerns as they compete with students for parking spaces.

4.) Why rezone 850 North Ave. from C-1 to PB?

-- allows us to look at the whole development:
parking fencing
signage access
drive through landscaping, etc.
-- a conditional use permit would have to be obtained for the drive through on the new building, but now is part of the approval for the PB development.

5.) One letter opposing the Rezone - Greg Jouflas - 1275 Cannell Ave.

6.) One letter appealing the Final Plan - Howard & Lisa Roberts - 866 Glenwood Ave.

7.) One letter signed by 11 residents opposing the curb cut onto Glenwood Ave. which was approved in the Final Plan by Planning Commission.

- LANdscaping is sufficient 21%
- North Ave. Corridor Guidelines Allows this type of use allong the South Side of Glenwood Avenue.
- All Review Agency comments have been resolved
- one written letter from Violet Quen in Favor of project

- STAFF Recomments Approval

Mike Shelens, The representative for the petitioner Moss, Inc. has requested that he be Allowed to present the details of the proposal to you ((ity Council))

(TACOBELL.RPT)

Along Glenwood Avenue, the west driveway ingress/egress areas needs to be eliminated. A maximum of one entrance/exit should allowed on Glenwood Avenue.

There should be 20 feet of landscaping along Glenwood Avenue with a berm of 4 to 5 feet. Need to show berm on site plan.

\*\*

Open space fee is required prior to recording the final plan and other appropriate documents.

Planning clearance, sign permits, fence permit are required from our office before construction begins.

Improvements Agreements and Guarantees will be required for all curb, gutter, sidewalk improvements.

This project affects the following rezone criteria:

- There has been a change of character in the area due to new growth trends and deterioration of the area.

- The proposed rezone is compatible with the surrounding area.

- Benefits will be derived by the neighborhood and the community by cleaning up 3 deteriorated lots and improving them with landscaping and improvements which will eliminate the current problem of an eyesore and blowing dust.

- The proposal is in conformance with the policies, intents, and requirements of this code and the North Avenue Guidelines.

- Adequate facilities are available.

The North Avenue Corridor Guidelines suggest that office and multifamily residential uses may be appropriate on the south side of Glenwood Avenue to buffer the existing single family residential uses from commercial development. The proposed parking lot would be of "similar impact as that of an office or multifamily uses. These uses would also have much of their property set aside for parking. In any case, more than the usual landscaping is needed to help buffer the parking area from the residential neighborhood on the west, north, and east sides. The parking lot will have some traffic impact on Glenwood, but not allowing access on Glenwood would force additional traffic on to the alley which is not designed for the same volumes of traffic that Glenwood Avenue is.

The landscaping standards are being met in area 1 as per the required 40% of the landscaped area shall contain shrubs, and this shrub area shall be covered by a minimum of 75% plant material. Area 2 does not have enough shrubs, but it is understood that this area is expected to change and be revised between now and the public hearing. The landscaping plan will need to be adjusted accordingly. Currently, there are enough trees and the plant sizes are okay for the entire development.

#### REVIEW SHEET SUMMARY

FILE NO. 27-90 TITLE HEADING: Taco Bell

ACTIVITY: Rezone & Revised Final Plan

PETITIONER: Moss Inc.

**REPRESENTATIVE:** Michael Saelens

LOCATION: 848 North Ave & 845-865 Glenwood Ave

PHASE: Final ACRES:

PETITIONER'S ADDRESS: 715 Horizon Drive, Suite 380

ENGINEER: Banner Engineer

NOTE: WRITTEN RESPONSE BY THE PETITIONER TO THE REVIEW COMMENTS IS REQUIRED A MINIMUM OF 48 HOURS PRIOR TO THE FIRST SCHEDULED PUBLIC HEARING.

#27-90 REZONE / TACO BELL (Page 1 of 3)

RESPONSE NECESSARY or July 5, 1990

( the second

125 HOF Remove

Bass School

#### 06/12/90 CITY PARKS & RECREATION

Attached appraisal is not detailed and appears to be on the low side compared to some others from other parts of town.  $$32,214 \times 5\% =$  \$1,610.70 open space fees.

#### 06/11/90 BUILDING DEPARTMENT

No comments or objections.

#### <u>06/11/90</u> <u>U.S. WEST</u>

No comments.

#### 06/12/90 CITY ENGINEER

Each handicap parking space and unloading area should be 17' wide. Unloading area and curb ramps should be on the right side of each handicap parking space.

Curbing along east side of the building lot should allow for 2' vehicle overhang between face of curb and property line. Only 1' is provided on site plan.

The type and location of all traffic control signs should be shown on the site plan. Stop signs will be required at all exits onto North Avenue, the alley and Glenwood Avenue.

The proposed drive-thru lane will allow stacking for only three vehicles behind the ordering microphone without blocking the parking lot aisle and/or parking spaces. Is there a way to separate the drive-thru lane from the parking lot?

Curb radii at alley entrances and exits range from 4.5' to 7.5'. Where possible these should be increased to at least 10'.

In the north parking lot, I would like to see the space between the west property line and the proposed curb increased to 10'. This would allow better alignment of the driveways crossing the alley and would provide enough width for landscaping in the space between the curb and property line. This could be done by removing three parking spaces from the center of the lot.

#### 06/12/90 CITY ENGINEER continued

We need to see a plan showing parking lot lighting coverage and the type of light fixture proposed. What is the proposed screen wall/fence? Show detail on plan.

All landscaping within 5' of a public sidewalk or within 10' of the alley pavement shall be under 30 inches in height.

All drainage troughs constructed in the public right-of-way shall be in accordance with City Standard Drawing ST-2.

All unused curb cuts on North Avenue and Glenwood Avenue shall be removed and replaced with curb, gutter, and sidewalk to match existing.

The sidewalk on the south side of the north parking lot is not wide enough to allow for vehicle overhang. (Should be 6' min.)

There should be a designated crosswalk for pedestrians to cross the alley. Sidewalks and handicap ramps should be installed at the approaches to the crosswalk.

#### 06/18/90 CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT

No problems noted.

#### 06/18/90 PUBLIC SERVICE

GAS: No objections. G.L. 6/18/90

ELECTRIC: No objections. F.B. 6/15/90

#### 06/19/90 CITY PROPERTY AGENT

Request for Revocable Permit to allow the installation of landscape improvements in the North Avenue right-of-way will be scheduled for the July 18th City Council meeting.

Signs adjacent to all driveways need to be installed at no more than 30 inches above the grade of the ground (see Section 5-3-2 Zoning & Development Code).

#### 06/19/90 CITY UTILITIES ENGINEER

Adequate water and sewer facilities exist to accommodate the expanded facility as proposed. The new E.Q.U. for calculating "Plant Investment Fees" and monthly service charges will be 11.20. The new facility will require review by the City for industrial pretreatment.

The Utilities Division of the Public Works Department has no objection to the rezone.

#### 06/18/90 CITY FIRE DEPARTMENT

Our department doesn't have a problem with this rezone at this time. A fire flow survey needs to be conducted to determine adequate water for fire emergency. A set of building plans is required for this survey. Access appears to be adequate at this time. Lot # 15 is shown on the rezone, but there isn't any mention of its use. I would like that shown on a plat plan or in the written description. If you have any questions please call. George Bennett, 244-1401.

06/20/90 CITY ATTORNEY

None.

е.

### 06/20/90 CITY PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Need more detail on screening/fencing. The code requires the screening to be 6' whether it is a solid fence or solid year-round landscaping. Need to know height, type of construction, etc.

Need more signage detail on plan - re: type, size, and location.

Need more information on parking lot lighting. Needs to be low impact lighting. Lighting facilities must be directed away from residential property and the vision of passing motorists. Need to know type and location of lighting on site plan.

Along Glenwood Avenue, the west driveway ingress/egress areas needs to be eliminated. A maximum of one entrance/exit should be allowed on Glenwood Avenue.

There should be 20 feet of landscaping along Glenwood Avenue with a berm of 4 to 5 feet. Need to show berm on site plan.

\*\*

Open space fee is required prior to recording the final plan and other appropriate documents.

Planning clearance, sign permits, fence permit are required from our office before construction begins.

Improvements Agreements and Guarantees will be required for all curb, gutter, sidewalk improvements.

This project affects the following rezone criteria:

- There has been a change of character in the area due to new growth trends and deterioration of the area.

- The proposed rezone is compatible with the surrounding area.

- Benefits will be derived by the neighborhood and the community by cleaning up 3 deteriorated lots and improving them with landscaping and improvements which will eliminate the current problem of an eyesore and blowing dust.

- The proposal is in conformance with the policies, intents, and requirements of this code and the North Avenue Guidelines.

- Adequate facilities are available.

The North Avenue Corridor Guidelines suggest that office and multifamily residential uses may be appropriate on the south side of Glenwood Avenue to buffer the existing single family residential uses from commercial development. The proposed parking lot would be of similar impact as that of an office or multifamily uses. These uses would also have much of their property set aside for parking. In any case, more than the usual landscaping is needed to help buffer the parking area from the residential neighborhood on the west, north, and east sides. The parking lot will have some traffic impact on Glenwood, but not allowing access on Glenwood would force additional traffic on to the alley which is not designed for the same volumes of traffic that Glenwood Avenue is.

The landscaping standards are being met in area 1 as per the required 40% of the landscaped area shall contain shrubs, and this shrub area shall be covered by a minimum of 75% plant material. Area 2 does not have enough shrubs, but it is understood that this area is expected to change and be revised between now and the public hearing. The landscaping plan will need to be adjusted accordingly. Currently, there are enough trees and the plant sizes are okay for the entire development.

# REVIEW SHEET SUMMARY CITY ENGINEER RESPONSE

- 1) THE SITE PLAN HAS BEEN AMENDED TO SHOW THE CORRECT DIMENSIONS FOR THE HANDICAP PARKING SPACE.
- 2) THE SITE PLAN HAS BEEN CHANGED TO REFLECT 2' ALONG THE EAST SIDE OF BUILDING PARKING LOT.
- 3) THE SITE PLAN NOW SHOWS ALL TRAFFIC CONTROL SIGNS.

No.


- 4) WE ARE AWARE OF YOUR CONCERN FOR THE STACKING IN THE DRIVE-THRU LANE. WE FEEL FROM PAST EXPERIENCE IT WILL NOT POSE A PROBLEM. OUR GOAL IS TO HANDLE EACH AUTO IN THE DRIVE-THRU IN APPROX. 45 SECONDS PER CAR.
- 5) CURB RADII HAVE BEEN CHANGED INCREASED WHERE POSSIBLE TO 10'. (SEE REVISED SITE PLAN)
- 6) THE SPACE IN THE NORTH PARKING LOT HAS BEEN INCREASED TO 12'. THE DRIVEWAYS CROSSING THE ALLEY HAVE BEEN ALIGNED. (SEE REVISED SITE PLAN)
- 7) WE HAVE HAD A ENGINEER SHOW THE CORRECT LIGHTING FIXTURES. THE LIGHTING FACILITIES WILL BE DIRECTED AWAY FROM RESIDENTIAL. SEE DIAGRAM ON SITE PLAN FOR TYPE OF FENCING.
- 8) SEE SITE PLAN ATTACHMENT FOR SIGNAGE DETAIL.
- 9) ALL LANDSCAPING WITHIN 5' OF PUBLIC SIDEWALK AND 10' OF THE ALLEY WILL BE UNDER 30 INCHES IN HEIGHT. " (SEE REVISED LANDSCAPE PLAN).
- 10)ALL DRAINAGE TROUGHS WILL BE CONSTRUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH CITY STANDARD DRAWING ST-2. SEE SITE PLAN INSERT.
- 11)ALL UNUSED CURB CUTS ON NORTH AVE. AND GLENWOOD AVE. WILL BE REMOVED AND REPLACED WITH CURB, GUTTER, AND SIDEWALK TO MATCH EXISTING.
- 12) THE SIDEWALK ON THE SOUTH SIDE OF NORTH PARKING LOT HAS BEEN DESIGNED TO BE 6' WIDE TO ALLOW FOR VEHICLE OVERHANG. (SEE REVISED SITE PLAN)
- 13) THERE WILL BE A DESIGNATED CROSSWALK FOR PEDESTRIANS TO CROSS ALLEY (SEE REVISED SITE PLAN).

RECEIVED GRAND JUNCTION PLANNING DEPARTMENT

JUL 0 5 1990

# REVIEW SHEET SUMMARY TY PLANNING DEPARTMENT RESPONSE

- 1) THE SCREENING/FENCING WILL BE 6' HIGH. SEE SITE PLAN INSERT FOR FENCING DIAGRAM.
- 2) MORE SIGNAGE DETAIL HAS BEEN ADDED TO SITE PLAN. (SEE REVISED SITE PLAN)
- 3) WE HAVE HAD ENGINEER DESIGN PARKING LOT LIGHTING. LIGHT WILL BE DIRECTED AWAY FROM RESIDENTIAL PROPERTIES. (SEE ATTACHMENT TO SITE PLAN).
- 4) THE WEST DRIVEWAY ALONG GLENWOOD HAS BEEN ELIMINATED. WE NOW HAVE ONLY ONE EXIT/ENTRANCE ON TO GLENWOOD. NOTE THE EXIT ALLOWS FOR RIGHT TURN ONLY. (SEE REVISED SITE PLAN).
- 5) THE BERM ALONG GLENWOOD HAS BEEN WIDENED TO APPROX 20'. THE BERM PLUS LANDSCAPE HEDGE WILL BE 5' TO 6' HIGH. (SEE REVISED LANDSCAPE PLAN).



JUL 0 5 1990







File # \_\_\_\_\_\_

Date <u>July 10, 1990</u>

PROJECT LOCATION: 850 North Avenue and 845, 865, & 875 Glenwood Avenue

PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

Rezone from C-1 (Light Commercial) to PB (Planned Business) at 850 North Avenue and from RSF-8 (Residential Single Family with a density of 8 units per acre maximum) to PB (Planned Business) at 845, 865, & 875 Glenwood Avenue.

REVIEW SUMM	AAR	Ϋ́	(Major Concerns)		
POLICIES COMPLIANCE		но *		SATISFIED	NOT # SATISFIED
Complies with adopted policies	х		Streets/Rights Of Way	X	
Complies with adopted criteria			Water/Sewer	X	
Meets guidelines of Comprehensive Plan	X		lrrigation/Drainage	X	
			Landscaping/Screening	X	
			Other:		

\* See explanation below

# **STATUS & RECOMMENDATIONS:**

Planning Commission and staff recommend approval of the rezones subject to the review agency sheet comments. The final plan has been approved with the addition of one handicap parking space.

Planning Commission Action

Recommend approval of Rezones. (6-0)

Control State     Control			
ABS       FILE SAME         INTE       FILE SAME <th></th> <th>FF De la</th> <th>Ciffee \$27.90</th>		FF De la	Ciffee \$27.90
HEZONE AND FINAL     Image: Constraint of the constraint o			
Mills			
ATTUIT Receive The Bell From C-1 4-75 (B4 North Are) 9 Receive Topoget 0  Note that BC 50 in BCF-8 to 75 and 20 A Maging phones boundaries  receive location of BCF-8 to 75 and 25 (B5, STE Clearword, Auguste  Terminal State State 1 and 1 an			0
DATE HONT TEXTLE       DATE HOLLED OUTDATE HOLLED OUTDATE HEARDING SPALD RECEIPT /		DENSITY	TAX SCHEDULE # 2945-114-19- 29
DATE HONT TEXTLE       DATE HOLLED OUTDATE HOLLED OUTDATE HEARDING SPALD RECEIPT /		ACTIVITY REZUNE TACO Bell From C-1 to PB (848 North	Ave) & REZONE Property
DATE HONT TEXTLE       DATE HOLLED OUTDATE HOLLED OUTDATE HEARDING SPALD RECEIPT /	· · · ·	PHASE AT 845 thry 865 From RSF-8 to PB Also Allowing	A LARGER PLANNED DEVELOPMEN
DATE HONT TEXTLE       DATE HOLLED OUTDATE HOLLED OUTDATE HEARDING SPALD RECEIPT /		COMMON LOCATION QAQOS ADL AUGUER & DAG DES CONF	Claused Augura
OAY SEVIEW FERIO       BATL ONES       PAID NAME FEE REQUIRED S       DATE SECOND FEE REQUIRED S </td <td></td> <td>COMMON LOCATIONATO ADRIN AVENUE &amp; 845,865,865</td> <td>GENWOOD AVENUE</td>		COMMON LOCATIONATO ADRIN AVENUE & 845,865,865	GENWOOD AVENUE
PPER S7MCE REDICATION (acresses)			DATE POSTED
RECIRENT FEE REQUIRED 1       A B # D E # 0 B # Z F # B # Z # Z # Z # Z # Z # Z # Z # Z # Z		DAY REVIEW PERIOD RETURN BY	
PEVEW AGENCIES       A B & D L / S H / / X Y Y X ABBCCOUPY         Planing Opartmant       Image: Second Seco		OPEN SPACE DEDICATION (acreage) OPEN SPACE FEE REQUIRED \$	PAID RECEIPT #
Planning (bgartnent)       Image: Construction figures         City Engineer       Image: Construction figures         City Aris/Normation       Image: Construction         City Aris/Normation       Image: Construction         City Aris/Normation       Image: Construction         City Aris/Normation       Image: Construction         Construction       Image: Construction         Construction       Image: Construction         Construction       Image: Construction         Operation       Image: Constru		RECORDING FEE REQUIRED \$ PAID (Date)	DATE RECORDED
Planning (bgartnent)       Image: Construction figures         City Engineer       Image: Construction figures         City Aris/Normation       Image: Construction         City Aris/Normation       Image: Construction         City Aris/Normation       Image: Construction         City Aris/Normation       Image: Construction         Construction       Image: Construction         Construction       Image: Construction         Construction       Image: Construction         Operation       Image: Constru		DEVIEW ADENCIES A B & D E & G H X X X X X X 0 X Q H	R S T U V JAY X Y Z AA BB CC DD J⊊AZ FF
Sitz Engineer     Construction Expirement     Sitz Parts/flecreation     Construction Expirement     Construction Expirement     Construction Expirement     Construction			
Orassonation Legisland         Star Parts/Recreation         Star Parts/Recreation <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>			
City Price Department       Image: Control of the second sec			
Elty Fire Repartment       P         County Figureer       P         County Engineer       P	м.		
Courty Francing         Courty Engineer			
County Health         County Health         Product administration         0         6.4., Dept. of Energy         0		🕒 City Police Department 🗠 🔍 🔍 🖉	
County Health         Flodglein Administration         S. J. Oper. of Energy         Walker Field         O Sabed District         O Sabed District <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			
Floodblain Administration         6.J. Opt. of Energy         Walter Field         9. School District         10. School District         11. Frigation         12. School District         13. Sever District         14. School District         15. Medic (Ite, Clifton)         15. Sever District         16. State Bighway Department         16. State Beological         17. State Beological <tr< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr<>			
b.J. Bept. of Energy         Walker Field         School District         Operation         District         Operation         Walker (Ute, Clifton)         Walker (Ute, Clifton)         Seven Dist. (FV, GGV, GM)         U.S. Ment         Public Service (2 sats)         State Highey Department         State Boolgical         Ostate Highey Department         State Health Department         Otick Guide Department         State Health Department         Otick Guide Department         Optick State Highey Department <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	ú		
Image       Image       Image       Image       Image       Image         Image       Image       Image       Image       Image       Image       Image         Image       Image       Image       Image       Image       Image       Image       Image         Image       Imag			
Water (Ute, Clifton)         Sever Dist. (FV, CGV, 0W)         U.S. Nest         Public Service (2 sats)         State Highway Department         State Health Department         State Health Department         State Health Department         State Realth Department         State Health Department         State Realth Department         Building Department         Dub         Building Department         Dub         Building Department         Dub         State Realth Department         Dub         Boarnos         DATE         P.c.         Z. (			
Sever Dist. (FV, GV, OV) U.S. Kest $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$		3 O Drainage	
U.S. Mest / $\sim$			
) Public Service (2 sets) $V$ State Highway Department State Geological State Geological State Geological City Property Agent $V$ Gity Utilities Engineer $V$ Gity Attorney Building Department $V$ Building Depart			
State Highway Department         State Geological         State Geological         City Property Agent         City Property Agent         City Utilities Engineer         Building Department         DDA         GMPC (2 packets)         Other         Duther         Duther         Billing Department         DA         GMPC (2 packets)         Other         Duther         Billing Department         Data         GMPC (2 packets)         Other         Duther         Duther         Staff         Staff     <			
State Geological State Geological State Health Department City Property Agent $\checkmark$ City Utilities Engineer City Utilities Engineer City Utilities Engineer City Utilities Engineer City Atorney Building Department DDA GJPC (7 packets) Cit (11 packets) Other TOTALS SQN MMN - BOARDS DATE P.C C.C. T-16-10 STAFF S			
State Health Department City Property Agent City Utilities Engineer City Utilities Engineer City Attorney Building Department DDA GJPC (7 packets) Cit (11 packets) Other TOTALS DAM BOARDS DATE P.c 7-16-40 C.C. 7-16-40 STAFF			
City Property Agent       Image: City distance         City Utilities Engineer       Image: City Atorney         Building Department       Image: City Atorney         Date       Image: City Atorney         Boands       Date         Boands       Date         Totals       Max Manual Atorney         Boands       Date         P.c.       Z-10-%       Receive Approved A-2         C.c.       Z-16-%       Receive Approved A-2         C.c.       Z-16-%       Receive Approved A-2         Staff       Z/8[1]       Mimer Chapter Approved         Staff       Z/8[1]       Mimer Chapter Approved         Image: City of the Atorney       Approved         Application fee Requirements       Recove & Fiwal - 4500°° due at submittal			─ <u>┼──┤──┝──├┈┶┼──</u> ┟┈┉╇──┤── <b>┤</b> ╍─┾ <del>──┤Ѭ</del> ┪╍┉╇┉┙┧─
City Utilities Engineer       Image: City Attorney         Building Department       Image: City Attorney         DDA       Image: City Attorney         Building Department       Image: City Attorney         DDA       Image: City Attorney         GJPC (7 packets)       Image: City Attorney         CITC (11 packets)       Image: City Attorney         Other       Image: City Attorney         Image: City Attorney       Image: City Attorney         BOARDS       Image: City Attorney         Image: City Attorney       Image: City Attorney         Image: City Attorey       Image: City Attorney			
Building Department         DDA         GJPC (7 packets)         CIC (1) packets)         Other         Other         BOARDS         DATE         P.c         7-10-10         REZENE Approved G-E         Plan         BOARDS         DATE         P.c         7-10-10         REZENE TABLED         TOTALS         BOARDS         DATE         P.c         7-10-10         REZENE TABLED         TOTALS         BOARDS         DATE         P.c         7-16-10         REZENE TABLED         TOTALS         BOARDS         DATE         P.c         T-16-10         REZENE TABLED         TOTALS         REZENE TABLED         TOTALS         REZENE         THAN         Application FEE REQUIREMENTS         REZENE & FINAL         APPLICATION FEE REQUIREMENTS         REZENE & FINAL         TOTALS			
DDA         GJPC (7 packets)         CIC (11 packets)         Other         TOTALS         BOARDS         DATE         P.c         7-10-%         REZENE         C.C.         T-18-%         REZENE         STAFF			╶┼╶┼─┼─┼─┼─┼─┼─┼─┼─┼─┼─┼─┼
GJPC (7. packets)         CIIC (11 packets)         Other         Other         BOARDS         DATE         P.C         7-18-90         C.C.         Y-18-90         REZENE         STAFF			┊┼╶┼╌┼┈┽═┿┉┟┈┽╴┼╤┼╶┤╶╎═┼╶┽┈╬┉
CIC (11 packets)         Other         Othe			
Other       O         TOTALS       BOM MN         BOARDS       DATE         P.C       7-10-40         C.C.       1-18-90         REZENE       Tabled         C.C.       8-1-90         STAFF       Final Plan         STAFF       Mima Change Approved         BOARDS       The Plan         STAFF       Mima Change Approved         BOARDS       Application FEE REQUIREMENTS         REZENE & Final       4500 % due at submitted			
TOTALS     DOM     MN			
BOARDS       DATE         P.C       7-10-90       Rezone Approved 6-0;       Plan Approved 4-2.         C.C.       7-18-90       Rezone Tabled 7-0         C.C.       90-1-90       Final 5-0         STAFF       5/8/91       Mimer Change Approved			
BOARDS       DATE         P.c       7-10-90       Rezone Approved 6-0; Plan Approved 4-2.         C.C.       7-18-90       Rezone Tabled 7-0         C.C.       90-1-90       Final 5-0         STAFF       5/8/91       Mima Change Approved		0	
BOARDS       DATE         P.c       7-10-90       Rezone Approved 6-0; Plan Approved 4-2.         C.C.       7-18-90       Rezone Tabled 7-0         C.C.       90-1-90       Final 5-0         STAFF       5/8/91       Mima Change Approved			
BOARDS       DATE         P.c       7-10-90       Rezowe Approved 6-0; Plan Approved 4-2.         C.C.       7-18-90       Rezowe Tabled 7-0         C.C.       8-1-90       Final Plans 5-0         STAFF		TOTALS MM/MN/V//////////	2000321/mm21-m2/-
P.c.       7-10-90       Recover Approved 6 - 0       Plan Approved 4-2         C.C.       1-18-90       Recover Tabled 7-0         STAFF       8-1-90       Finit Plan 5-0         STAFF       9/8/91         Mimer Change Approved       9-2         Application FEE REQUIREMENTS         Recover & Final       4500 ° due at submittal		and the second	
C.C.       7-18-90       REZONE TAbled 7-C         STAFF       FINAL PIAN 5-C       REZONE 3-2         STAFF       Mima Change Approved         Application FEE REQUIREMENTS         REZONE & Final - #500 <sup>SE</sup> due at submittal			1AN Approved 4-2
STAFF 5/8/91 Miner Change Approved Application FEE REQUIREMENTS REZONE & Final - #500 <sup>52</sup> due at submittal		C.C. 7-18-40 REZONE TABLEST 7-C	
Application fee requirements Rezone & Final - #500 <sup>52</sup> due at submittal		<u>C.C. 8-1-90 FINAL PLAN 5-0</u>	KOZUNE 3-2 NOV
Application fee requirements Rezone & Final - #500 <sup>52</sup> due at submittal		STAFF	X <sup>**1</sup>
REZONE & FINAL - #500 <sup>50</sup> due at submitted		5/8/91 Miner Charge Approved	
REZONE & FINAL - #500 <sup>50</sup> due at submitted			
		KEZONE & FINA - #500 du	e at submittal

¥.



Grand Junction Community Development Department Planning • Zoning • Code Enforcement 250 North Fifth Street Grand Junction, Colorado 81501-2668 (303) 244-1430 FAX (303) 244-1599

June 4, 1991

Mike Saelens Moss, Inc. 715 Horizon Drive Suite 380 Grand Junction, Colorado 81506

RE: Site inspection for Taco Bell Restaurant at 850 North Avenue

Dear Mr. Saelens:

Don Newton, City Engineer, and I inspected the Taco Bell site at 850 North Avenue on Tuesday, June 4th, 1991 and found the site acceptable with the following exception:

1) The sidewalk crossing drain on Glenwood Avenue does not meet City standards and must be replaced. (See copy of ST-2 drawing enclosed.)

also, the following still need to be completed:

2) The installation of Six -Stop signs (#R1-1, 24" x 24"), one - No Left Turn sign (#R3-2) on Glenwood and at your option two - Pedestrian crossing signs (#W11A-2, 30" x 30") along the alley. The City Traffic Engineer, Dave Tontoli will contact you regarding the pedestrian alley crossing. All sign locations and heights must be approved by the City Traffic Engineer. His phone number is 244-1567.

I would like to add that the landscaping and site design along with the interior and exterior of the building have been done beautifully and are to be commended. We appreciate the example you are setting for other commercial establishments in town and hope that many others will follow. Your site is one that as residents of Grand Junction we all can be proud of.

If you have any further questions please contact me at your earliest convenience.

Respectfully Ma Dave Thornton Planner

cc: Don Newton file #27-90 GREGORY JOUFLAS 1275 Cannell Avenue Grand Junction, CO 8150 0661 91 700

BIVNNING DEBVELMENL BECEIAED GEVND JUNCLION

# July 16, 1990

City Council City of Grand Junction City Hall 250 North 5th Street Grand Junction, CO 81501

> Re: Rezone for Taco Bell Petitioner Moss, Inc., 845, 865 and 875 Glenwood Avenue

Dear Council Members:

I own the property located at 1275 Cannell Avenue, in Grand Junction, and occupy that property as my residence. The proposed parking lot which Taco Bell wishes to construct at 845, 865 and 875 Glenwood Avenue is just around the corner from my home, and I have a number of concerns regarding Taco Bell's request for rezone for its parking lot.

Specifically, there can be no question that construction of a parking lot on Glenwood Avenue, as sought by Taco Bell, will increase the volume of traffic on both Glenwood Avenue and Cannell Avenue. Increased traffic will obviously bring with it increased noise, litter, and congestion on both Cannell Avenue and Glenwood Avenue. These impacts on the area surrounding Taco Bell's proposed parking lot are unavoidable, and are an obvious consequence of commercial use of a property such as a parking lot for a high volume fast food business like Taco Bell. The existence of such a commercial use is simply incompatible and inconsistent with the residential nature of the properties fronting on Glenwood Avenue and Cannell Avenue. Placement of a parking lot where proposed by Taco Bell would be a significant intrusion into the neighborhood, would degrade the residential nature of the area, and be wholly inconsistent with the residential character which now exists along Glenwood Avenue and Cannell Avenue.

I also have serious concerns about use of the proposed parking lot on Glenwood Avenue by persons other than Taco Bell

# RECEIVED

City Council July 16, 1990 Page 2

customers, particularly after business hours. As the City Council is well aware, there is significant "cruiser" traffic on North Avenue during the evenings, particularly on Fridays and Saturdays. Such activity includes use of parking areas along North Avenue as places where these people can listen to loud music, consume alcoholic beverages, and create significant traffic noise and other disturbances. One need only drive past the Vickers' station at North and 10th on a Friday or Saturday night to appreciate the seriousness and scope of this problem. Construction of the proposed Taco Bell parking lot along Glenwood Avenue would create an inviting location for the same sort of activities that now take place at the Vickers station. In addition to this problem, the proposed plan for the parking lot includes planting of large grassy areas, and installation of benches or tables which would act as a further invitation for after hours use of the property by unauthorized persons. This also creates the potential for disturbances of the surrounding Given the potential for such use of a parking lot on residences. Glenwood Avenue, and the problems with noise, traffic, and other disturbances during the evening hours such a parking lot would create, the proposed parking lot is inappropriate for the residential area in which Taco Bell seeks to place the lot.

In light of the foregoing concerns, and the simple fact that a parking lot is completely inconsistent with the residential character of the neighborhoods along Glenwood Avenue and Cannell Avenue, I am adamantly opposed to any rezone which would allow Taco Bell to construct the proposed parking lot, regardless of the design of such a facility. I ask that the City Council deny Taco Bell's request for rezoning of 845, 865 and 875 Glenwood Avenue for any use as a parking lot.

Sincerely,

Gurring Jour las





TO: FILE # 27-90 (Taco Bell) FROM: Dave Thornton, Planner Date: September 25, 1990 Subject: Approved Pole Sign

Taco Bell received State Highway's response to development in the ROW along North Avenue after the City Council meeting held on August 1, 1990. In the Highway Department's letter they mention that no advertising signs are allowed, therefore Taco Bell has moved their pole sign a short distance to the North and West on the Final Site Plan to be located on their property but still out in front of their building. This new site for the sign does necessitate the removal of one tree that was planned for that location. Only this new site plan will be recorded.



Moss Inc. Sierra Be A Franchisee of Joco Bell Corp. 715 Horizon Drive, Suite 380 Grand Junction, Colorado 81506

June 7,1991

Dave Thornton Grand Junction Planning 250 North Fifth Street Grand Junction, CD. 81501

Mr. Thornton,

I am writing this letter in response to the letter I received from the Planning Department June 4,1991.

There were two concerns to the city planning regarding the Taco Bell at 850 North Ave. Grand Junction.

1) The sidewalk crossing drain on Glenwood Ave. has been installed incorrectly. It will be corrected according to ST-2 specifications on and or before July 1,1991.

2) The stop signs have been installed on the site. The poles for the right turn only sign and pedestrian crossing signs have been installed. The signs for these poles were not available, but have been ordered. They should be installed in 7-10 days.

I hope this will answer your concerns.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely,

Michael Saelens Real Estate & Development Moss Inc.

RECEIVED GRAND JUNCTION PLANNING DEPARTMENT

JUN 0 7 1991



Grand Junction Community Development Department Planning • Zoning • Code Enforcement 250 North Fifth Street Grand Junction, Colorado 81501-2668 (303) 244-1430 FAX (303) 244-1599

September 6, 1991

Mike Saelens Moss, Inc. A Franchise of Taco Bell Corp. 715 Horizon Drive, Suite 380 Grand Junction, Colorado 81506

RE: Sidewalk Crossing Drain on Glenwood Avenue

Dear Mike:

On September 5, 1991 Don Newton, City Engineer and myself inspected the sidewalk crossing drain on Glenwood Avenue north of the parking lot for Taco bell at 850 North Avenue. We found that the drain had not been reconstructed as promised. This needs to be completed as soon as possible. Please let me know when we can expect this to be done.

Respectfully,

Dave Thornton Planner

cc: Don Newton, city Engineer File #27-90