Table of Contents

| Fil | e | SPR-1995-210 | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|------------------|---|------|--------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Date 12/6/99 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| P r e s | S c a n | A few items are denoted with an asterisk (*), which mea ISYS retrieval system. In some instances, not all entries are also documents specific to certain files, not found on included. | des | sign e st | ated to be scanned are present in the file. There and ard list. For this reason, a checklist has been | | | | | | |
| n t | e d | Remaining items, (not selected for scanning), will be man quick guide for the contents of each file. Files denoted with (**) are to be located using the ISYS (|)ue | ry S | System. Planning Clearance will need to be typed | | | | | | |
| | | in full, as well as other entries such as Ordinances, Resolu | tior | ıs, l | Board of Appeals, and etc. | | | | | | |
| X | A | *Summary Sheet – Table of Contents | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Application form | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Receipts for fees paid for anything | | | | | | | | | |
| X | | *Submittal checklist | | | | | | | | | |
| X | X | *General project report | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Reduced copy of final plans or drawings | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Reduction of assessor's map | | | | | | | | | |
| | _ | Evidence of title, deeds | | | | | | | | | |
| | | *Mailing list | | | | | | | | | |
| _ | | Public notice cards | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Record of certified mail Legal description | | | | | | | | | |
| \dashv | | Appraisal of raw land | | | | | | | | | |
| \dashv | | Reduction of any maps – final copy | | | | | | | | | |
| | | *Final reports for drainage and soils (geotechnical reports | () | | | | | | | | |
| - | | Other bound or nonbound reports | | | | | | | | | |
| _ | | Traffic studies | - | | | | | | | | |
| X | \neg | Individual review comments from agencies | | | | | | | | | |
| X | X | *Consolidated review comments list | | | | | | | | | |
| X | X | *Petitioner's response to comments | | | | | | | | | |
| | | *Staff Reports | | | | | | | | | |
| | | *Planning Commission staff report and exhibits | | | | | | | | | |
| | | *City Council staff report and exhibits | | | | | | | | | |
| | | *Summary sheet of final conditions | | | | | | | | | |
| İ | | *Letters and correspondence dated after the date of final | apı | oro | val (pertaining to change in conditions or | | | | | | |
| | | expiration date) DOCUMENTS SPECIFIC TO TH | TC | DI | EVELODMENT EILE. | | | | | | |
| | | DOCUMENTS SPECIFIC TO TH | 13 | וע | EVELOPIVIENT FILE: | | | | | | |
| X | X | Letter from Bill Nebeker to Edward Tolen – 1/30/96 | X | X | Site Plan | | | | | | |
| X | | Memo to Hank Masterson from Bill Nebeker re: re-review of Site Plan- 1/15/96 | X | | Landscaping Plan | | | | | | |
| X | | Handwritten reply to 1/15/96 memo | | | | | | | | | |
| X | | E-mail from Jody Kliska to Marcia Rabideaux re: required drainage study- 1/23/96 | | | | | | | | | |
| X | | E-mail from Hank Masterson to Bill Nebeker re: on-site hydrant-1/17/96 | | | | | | | | | |
| X | | Certificate of Occupancy | | | | | | | | | |
| X | X | Planning Clearance - ** | | | | | | | | | |
| X | X | Letter from Jim Shanks to Larry Cleaver – 2/6/96 | | | | | | | | | |
| X | | E-mail from Jody Kliska to Bill Nebeker re: plan complete – 4/9/96 | | | | | | | | | |
| X | | Abstract of Title | | | | | | | | | |
| X | | Deed | | | | | | | | | |
| X | | Grading & Drainage Plan | | | | | | | | | |
| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | \dashv | | | | | | | |
| \neg | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | L1 | | | | | | | | |

UBMITTAL CHECKLIS.

SITE PLAN REVIEW

| Location: 560 25 R | Project Name: UTE WATER CXPMSION | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|-----------------|----------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------|--------|-------------------|-----------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------|----------|----------------------|----------------|---------------|----------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------------|
| ITEMS | DISTRIBUTION | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Date Received VR 962 Receipt # File # SPR-95-210 (2) DESCRIPTION | SSID REFERENCE | City Community Development | City Dev. Eng. | City Utility En | | O City Parks/Recreation | City Fire Department | City Assurer. | | O County Planning | | O Irrigation District | O Drainage District | | | O U.S. West | O Public Service | O GVRP | O CDOT | O Corps of Engineers | O Walker Field | O Persigo WWT | | O State Environ. Health | O City Sanitation | O School Dist #51 | | | | | TOTAL REQ'D. |
| Application Fee | VII-1 | 1 | | ٦ | T | | T | T | T | T | Т | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | T | 1 |
| Submittal Checklist * | VII-3 | 1 | $ \uparrow $ | + | + | + | + | + | + | \dagger | \forall | \dashv | \dashv | 7 | | | \sqcap | - | 1 | \dashv | \dashv | \dashv | \vdash | \vdash | \vdash | \vdash | \vdash | H | 一十 | + | ++ |
| ● Review Agency Cover Sheet* | VII-3 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | ᆌ | 1 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Н | H | 一十 | + | 5 |
| Planning Clearance* | VII-3 | 1 | \vdash | \dashv | \top | + | 十 | + | + | + | \dashv | \dashv | \dashv | 1 | \vdash | | | _ | \dashv | \dashv | \dashv | \dashv | Н | \vdash | \vdash | \vdash | \vdash | Н | 一十 | + | $\frac{3}{1}$ |
| ● 11"x17" Reduction of Assessor's Map | VII-1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | H | H | \sqcap | 十 | 5 |
| Evidence of Title | VII-2 | 1 | \sqcap | \dashv | 1 | \dagger | + | 1 | + | + | \dashv | 7 | \dashv | \dashv | Н | H | \vdash | \dashv | \dashv | \dashv | 7 | \dashv | | | \vdash | H | H | ${\sf H}$ | \vdash | 十 | 1 |
| O Deeds | VII-1 | 7 | \sqcap | \dashv | 1 | \dagger | + | 1 | + | \dagger | \dashv | _ | | \dashv | H | $\mid \uparrow \mid$ | $\vdash \vdash$ | \dashv | \dashv | \dashv | 7 | _ | H | \vdash | \vdash | \vdash | \vdash | \vdash | \sqcap | 十 | +- |
| O Easements | VII-2 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 1 | + | + | 1 | + | + | + | \forall | 1 | | $\vdash \uparrow$ | $\vdash \uparrow$ | \sqcap | \dashv | 1 | 7 | 7 | 7 | \vdash | \vdash | H | \vdash | \vdash | \vdash | \vdash | + | + |
| O Avigation Easement | VII-1 | 1 | $\vdash \uparrow$ | + | 1 | 十 | 十 | 1 | + | + | 1 | 7 | \sqcap | \sqcap | \vdash | | | | 7 | 7 | \dashv | 7 | \vdash | H | H | - | - | H | 十 | + | + |
| O ROW | VII-2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | + | + | 1 | + | + | \dashv | 1 | \dashv | | H | \Box | \vdash | | 7 | \dashv | 7 | - | H | $\vdash \vdash$ | - | | H | \vdash | H | 十 | +- |
| O Improvements Agreement/Guarantee* | VII-2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | + | + | + | 1 | + | + | \dashv | \dashv | _ | _ | \vdash | \Box | $\vdash \uparrow$ | \dashv | \dashv | \dashv | 7 | 7 | - | \square | H | \vdash | \vdash | \vdash | \sqcap | + | + |
| O CDOT Access Permit | VII-3 | 1 | 17 | \forall | \top | + | + | + | 十 | + | 1 | \exists | \sqcap | \neg | \square | \square | \sqcap | \sqcap | | | 7 | 7 | П | П | \vdash | \vdash | \vdash | H | П | \top | + |
| O Industrial Pretreatment Sign-off | VII-4 | 1 | \sqcap | 1 | 十 | + | + | + | + | \forall | \dashv | \forall | \dashv | | Н | \Box | \Box | \sqcap | \neg | \exists | \dashv | | П | Н | | | \vdash | \vdash | П | 十 | 1 |
| ● General Project Report | X-7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 巾 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | H | \vdash | \sqcap | \top | 5 |
| O Elevation Drawing | IX-13 | 1 | 1 | \forall | \top | \top | + | + | + | 7 | \dashv | \exists | \Box | \sqcap | \sqcap | П | \sqcap | \Box | \Box | \exists | | | П | П | \vdash | H | \vdash | \sqcap | \sqcap | \top | _ |
| ● Site Plan | IX-29 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Н | Н | \sqcap | + | 7 |
| O 11"x17" Reduction of Site Plan | IX-29 | - | \Box | 7 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | Н | П | \dagger | + |
| ● Grading and Drainage Plan | IX-16 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 1 | + | + | + | + | \forall | \exists | \exists | 1 | | \sqcap | П | | | | 1 | | | Н | \Box | \vdash | \vdash | \vdash | \vdash | \sqcap | 十 | 3 |
| O Storm Drainage Plan and Profile | IX-30 | 1 | 2 | \forall | | \top | + | \dagger | + | \forall | \dashv | \exists | 1 | \sqcap | \sqcap | 1 | 1 | 1 | П | ٦ | | \Box | \sqcap | \sqcap | \vdash | Г | Н | Н | 一 | 十 | + |
| O Water and Sewer Plan and Profile | IX-34 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 十 | 十 | 1 | 十 | \top | 7 | \sqcap | 7 | П | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | П | ╛ | | \sqcap | \sqcap | \sqcap | \vdash | Г | \vdash | Н | \sqcap | \top | 1 |
| O Roadway Plan and Profile | IX-28 | 1 | 2 | 7 | \sqcap | \top | \top | \dagger | + | \forall | \sqcap | \exists | 1 | П | П | П | П | \sqcap | | | | \Box | П | П | Г | H | T | П | H | 十 | 1 |
| O Road Cross-Sections | IX-27 | 1 | 2 | ヿ | \sqcap | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \forall | \sqcap | \neg | П | П | П | П | П | П | П | | | | | | | | \vdash | Ħ | 口 | \top | 1 |
| O Detail Sheet | IX-12 | 1 | 2 | 7 | \sqcap | 7 | 1 | T | + | \exists | \sqcap | \sqcap | П | П | П | П | П | П | П | П | | | | | | ⇈ | \vdash | \sqcap | | \top | |
| ● Landscape Plan | IX-20 | 2 | 1 | 1 | \sqcap | 丁 | 丁 | 十 | 1 | J | \Box | П | П | П | | П | П | П | | | | П | П | Г | \vdash | \vdash | T | П | \sqcap | T | 4 |
| O Geotechnical Report | X-8 | 1 | 1 | ヿ | \sqcap | T | T | T | T | \exists | 7 | | П | П | П | П | П | П | | | | | П | П | Г | Г | Γ | П | П | \top | 1 |
| O Final Drainage Report | X-5,6 | 1 | 2 | 1 | П | す | T | T | T | \Box | П | П | 1 | П | П | \Box | П | П | П | | | | | Г | Г | T | Γ | Г | \sqcap | \top | 1 |
| O Stormwater Management Plan | X-14 | 1 | 2 | \exists | Π | 丁 | T | T | T | T | П | П | 1 | \sqcap | | | П | П | | 1 | | | Г | Г | \vdash | \vdash | \vdash | | П | \top | \top |
| O Phase I and II Environmental Rerpot | X-10,11 | 1 | 1 | \exists | П | T | T | T | T | | | | | | П | | П | П | П | | П | П | Г | Г | Г | Γ | T | Г | \Box | T | 1 |
| O Traffic Impact Study | X-15 | 1 | 2 | | | \Box | I | I | I | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | I | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NOTES: * An asterisk in the item descrip | tion colu | mn i | ndic | ate | es th | hat | a f | orm | ı is | su | ippi | ied | by | th/ | e C | ity. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

APRIL 1995

IV-13

GENERAL PROJECT REPORT UTE WATER CONSERVANCY DISTRICT NEW SHOP AND OFFICE ADDITON

Project Description

The Ute Water Conservancy District (the District) owns a 3 acre lot at 560 25 Road. Existing improvements at the site include a 5,800 sf office building, a 1,440 sf mechanics shop and a 3,000 sf parts warehouse. Planned improvements at the site include a 2,400 sf addition to the existing office, a new 5,280 sf mechanics shop and a 4,000 sf covered parking area.

Public Benefit

Benefits to the public due to these improvements include better customer service provided by better organization allowed with the increased space.

Project Compliance, Compatibility, and Impact

This area is currently zoned commercial. Planned improvements will not be a deviation from existing uses.

Primary access to the site is off of 25 Road with additional access for trucks and equipment off of Inland Avenue, a local street off 25 Road. There should be no increase in traffic or change in traffic patterns due to the improvements.

Utilities for the site are already in place with the exception of sanitary sewer to the new shop. Sanitary sewer service will be provided to the shop by connecting to an existing sanitary sewer in South Westgate Drive. Fire hydrants are located on the west side of 25 Road across from the subject lot and at the intersection of South Westgate Drive and West Pinyon Avenue.

In addition to the new shop a vehicle wash bay will be built. Water from this washbay will be discharged through a sand trap prior to discharge into the sanitary sewer system.

There will be no impacts to public facilities caused by the planned improvements.

Soils in the area are primarily low plasticity silts and clays. The topography of the area is relatively flat. There should be no impact to site geology due to this project.

The District currently has 49 employees, 26 in the office and 23 in maintenance and metering. The District's hours of operation are 8 AM to 5 PM, Monday through Friday, except for emergency service.

7

Development Schedule and Phasing

The schedule for construction of the improvements is as follows:

New Shop

Begin construction - December 1995 Construction complete - April 1995

Office Addition

Begin Construction - August 1996 Construction complete - December 1996

Covered Parking

Begin construction - May 1995 Construction complete - June 1995

REVIEW COMMENTS

Page 1 of 2

FILE #SPR-95-210

TITLE HEADING:

Site Plan Review - New Building

and Expansion of Current Building

LOCATION:

560 25 Road

PETITIONER:

Ute Water Conservancy District

PETITIONER'S ADDRESS/TELEPHONE:

560 25 Road

Grand Junction, CO 81505

242-7491

PETITIONER'S REPRESENTATIVE:

Edward Tolen

STAFF REPRESENTATIVE:

Bill Nebeker

NOTE: WRITTEN RESPONSE (4 COPIES) BY THE PETITIONER TO THE REVIEW COMMENTS IS REQUIRED. A PLANNING CLEARANCE WILL NOT BE ISSUED UNTIL <u>ALL</u> ISSUES HAVE BEEN RESOLVED.

GRAND JUNCTION FIRE DEPARTMENT

12/12/95

Hank Masterson

244-1414

- 1. Fire Department access to new shop from east side of property off of South Westgate needs to be provided, so that the fire hydrant on South Westgate can be utilized.
- 2. A fire flow survey is required for this project to determine if any on-site hydrants will be required. Submit complete building plans to the Fire Department for this purpose and for our required plan review.

MESA COUNTY BUILDING DEPARTMENT

12/11/95

Bob Lee

244-1656

A fire sprinkler may be required in the new mechanics shop due to the area and use of the building. South wall of covered parking building extending east and west must be fire-resistive. A separate permit is required for each building. All plans must be sealed by an architect or engineer.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

12/15/95

Bill Nebeker

244-1447

1. City code (Section 5-1-4.A1) requires all parking and vehicular traffic surfaces to be graded for drainage and paved. Some exceptions apply to overflow parking areas or low traffic storage yards. More information needs to be provided to determine what areas may be exceptions in the storage yard. How many average daily trips are proposed for the new shop? the existing warehouse? the existing shop? pumps? Is new covered parking for Ute water utility vehicles? How many vehicles (include vehicles not parked in the covered parking) are there and what is the average daily trips they make? Is there any new paving proposed?

SPR-95-210(2) / REVIEW COMMENTS / page 2 of 2

- 2. The square footages in the project narrative do not match the submitted site plan. Is the new office addition 2400 s.f. or 2800 s.f. (per the drawing)? Is the new covered parking 3800 s.f. or 4000 s.f.?
- 3. Submit a revised site plan showing where garage doors are on proposed new shop.
- 4. It appears that two trees will be removed when the new office addition is constructed. These trees must be replaced on other areas of the lot. Show on the site plan where they will be replaced.
- 5. If Pinyon Street is not vacated, 75% of the first 5 feet of the Pinyon Street frontage must be landscaped. This condition may be differed until Pinyon Street is constructed. If Pinyon Street is vacated, two additional trees must be planted in the vacated right-of-way adjacent to 25 Road.

CITY UTILITY ENGINEER

12/18/95

Trent Prall

244-1590

- 1. Please contact Dan Tonello with the Industrial Pretreatment section (244-1489) at the Persigo Sewer Treatment Plant for specific requirements for an oil/water separator for the proposed truck wash.
- 2. Please contact Utility Billing at 244-1580 for information regarding Sewer Plant Investment fees. All applicable fees must be paid prior to issuance of a building permit.
- 3. City of Grand Junction Street Cut Permit will be required for sewer tie-in to South Westgate Drive.

CITY DEVELOPMENT ENGINEER

12/18/95

Tody Kliska

244-1591

- 1. Transportation Capacity Payment Office \$2,473.57 + Shop \$1,288.32 = \$3,761.89 Total. No street improvements to 25 Road or Pinyon Avenue are required.
- 2. Drainage Any tie into existing system must be approved by Grand Junction Drainage District. Their system appears to be near or at capacity, in which case on-site detention with a metered flow into their system will be required. On-site detention facilities require a drainage study in conformance with City requirements and a design for the facility.

UTE WATER CONSERVANCY DISTRICT

560 25 Road, P.O. Box 460 Grand Junction, CO 81502

Office

Telephone: 970-242-7491 FAX: 970-242-9189 Treatment Plant

Telephone: 970-464-5563

AX: 970-464-5443

RECEIVED GRAND JUNCTION PLANNING DEPARTMENT

JAN 12 RECO

RESPONSE TO REVIEW COMMENTS

City File #SPR-95-210

Title Heading: Site Plan Review - New Building and Expansion of Current Building

Location: 560 25 Road

Petitioner: Ute Water Conservancy District Petitioner's Address/Telephone: 560 25 Road

Grand Junction, CO 81505

242-7491

Petitioner's Representative: Edward Tolen

Grand Junction Fire Department Comments

- 1) Access to the site from the east side of the property off of South Westgate can be provided through a gate located at the southeast corner of the property. A locking device accessible to the fire department can be used or the lock on the gate can be cut by the fire department if the need arises, whichever method is preferred by the fire department.
- 2) The fire department will perform a fire flow survey of the existing fire hydrants. If an on-site hydrant is found to be required, it will be provided.

Mesa County Building Department Comments

- 1) If required, a fire sprinkler system will be included in the new shop.
- 2) The covered parking is not planned to have a wall on the south side except for approximately 30 linear feet which will be used for storage. Any walls on the south side will have fire rating as required by code.
- 3) Separate permits will be obtained for each building.
- 4) Plans will be sealed by an engineer.

Community Development Department Comments

1) We take exception to the required grading and paving of the storage/maintenance yard. Because of the nature of the work done by the District, vehicles accumulate mud, dirt and gravel.

Page 2

If the area where they park is paved, any mud and dirt will fall on to the pavement and get into the storm drainage system. This would increase the amount of pollutants into the river as well as increase the maintenance on any drainage system due to the sediment. The site has been in continuous use since 1964 for the existing use, with no problems with dust. Because there would be no change or increase in use, there should be no problem with dust.

- 2) New covered parking is for District maintenance vehicles. The District currently has 24 maintenance vehicles with 62 average daily trips. In addition, 14 employee vehicles are parked in the storage yard each day.
- 3) A 20-foot wide asphalt apron on the west side of the shop and on the east side of the shop is proposed (see revised site plan.)
- 4) The square footage of the office addition is 2,800 sf and 3,800 sf for the covered parking (see revised site plan.)
- 5) Revised site plan will show location of garage doors.
- 6) Any trees that are removed due to office addition will be relocated or replaced. Location of trees is shown on revised site plan.
- 7) In the event that the Pinyon Street ROW is not vacated, we are requesting waiver of the landscaping requirements until improvements are made to this street. If the Pinyon Street ROW is vacated, additional landscaping will be provided along 25 Road.

City Utility Engineer Comments

- 1) Dan Tonello at the Persigo Wastewater Treatment Plant has been contacted regarding requirements for an oil/water separater.
- 2) Utility Billing has been contacted regarding Sewer Plant Investment Fees.
- 3) A street cut permit will be obtained for the sewer tie-in to South Westgate Drive.

City Development Engineer Comments

1) In regards to the Transportation Capacity Payment, we are taking exception to this requirement. The construction of a new shop is to replace an existing shop. This facility will <u>not</u> generate additional traffic. The office addition is proposed to serve the existing employees that are using the existing office. No increase in the number of employees is planned due to the office addition. The office addition will <u>not</u> generate additional traffic. Section 5-4-1, H, 1 requires the Transportation Capacity Payment to be made only when the development will generate additional

Page 3

traffic. Under Section 5-4-1, H, 8, a & b, this payment is not required if no additional trips will be created and the use of the property is not changed.

2) The Grand Junction Drainage District has been contacted and would permit connection to the 24-inch drain located on the west side of 25 Road. If on-site detention facilities would be required to tie to the 24-inch drain, we would prefer to run a drain to the open drainage ditch which is south of West Pinyon Avenue. This has also been approved by the Drainage District.

GRAND SINGLE OF THE STATE OF TH

January 30, 1996

City of Grand Junction, Colorado 250 North Fifth Street 81501-2668

FAX: (303) 244-1599

Edward Tolen Ute Water Conservancy District 560 25 Road Grand Junction, CO 81505

Re: Office & Maintenance Expansion; #SPR-95-210

Dear Ed:

I apologize for the delay in returning these comments to you. The following comments are made in response to your response to review comments, received by the City on January 12, 1996.

- 1. Per Hank Masterson at the Fire Department, Ute Water will install an on-site hydrant located about 20 feet southwest of the existing warehouse. Because of this on-site hydrant, Fire Department access to the site from South Westgate will not be necessary. This hydrant will be required for a building permit.
- 2. It appears that Mesa County Building Dept. comments will be satisfied at the time of building permit review.
- 3. City Utility Engineer comments have been satisfied.
- 4. A Transportation Capacity Payment of \$3,761.89 is still required. Since the customer base for Ute Water is not static, but growing, new trips are generated. If you wish to appeal this requirement please write a letter to Jim Shanks, Public Works Director, explaining to him why there will not be an increase of traffic due to the expansions. He has authority to waive the TCP requirement.
- 5. Per Jody Kliska, City Development Engineer, Grand Junction Drainage District is not sure of the existing capacity of their facilities in 25 Road. A drainage study as previously noted is still required. Even though the runoff is being discharged into the Drainage District facility, it will need to do so at a metered flow, which means on-site detention will be required. A drainage study and engineered plans are required.

Two copies of the drainage study and engineered plans are to be submitted to Jody Kliska; one copy to city planning and at least one copy to Grand Junction Drainage District.

Deleted on regular name

6. The revised site plan does not show where the two trees will be relocated, but they will be required. Otherwise the site plan is acceptable.

Landscaping along West Pinyon Avenue right-of-way will not be required at this time. Future additions may require landscaping if the road is developed at that time.

If you have any questions please call me at 244-1447.

Sincerely,

Bill Nebeker

Senior Planner

BILL Nell



City of Grand Junction, Colorado 250 North Fifth Street 81501-2668

FAX: (970)244-1599

Larry Cleaver General Manager Ute Water Conservancy District 560 25 Road, P.O. Box 460 Grand Junction, CO 81501

Re: TCP for Office and Maintenance Expansion

Dear Larry:

Thank you for your recent letter concerning Transportation Capacity Payment for building construction work being planned to the offices and shop area of Ute Water.

I understand from your letter that the administrative building expansion will serve existing administrative employees and that the shop facility is to provide more room for servicing existing construction equipment.

Based on this information, I find that your addition will not generate additional traffic trips and will not be subject to the Transportation Capacity Payment.

Please feel to call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

James L. Shanks, P.E.

Director of Public Works & Utilities

xc: Bill Nebeker Jody Kliska

2. Drinted on rectified name

