## **Table of Contents**

Fi	le _	SPR-1996-144 Name: Hanson Equipm	ent	Exp	vansion – 2523 Highway 6 and 50 – Site Plan Review
P e s e n t	S c a n e d	retrieval system. In some instances, items are found on the lis file because they are already scanned elsewhere on the system be found on the ISYS query system in their designated catego Documents specific to certain files, not found in the standard of Remaining items, (not selected for scanning), will be listed and the contents of each file.	t b a. T rie: hec	ut The s. ckl	are not present in the scanned electronic development se scanned documents are denoted with (**) and will ist materials, are listed at the bottom of the page.
X	X				
_		*Review Sheet Summary			
		*Application form			
		Review Sheets			
L.		Receipts for fees paid for anything			
X					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
X	X				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
<u> </u>		Reduced copy of final plans or drawings			
Ь—		Reduction of assessor's map.			A STATE OF THE STA
		Evidence of title, deeds, easements			The first Contract of the cont
		*Mailing list to adjacent property owners Public notice cards			
		Record of certified mail			
<u> </u>		Legal description			1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -
-		Appraisal of raw land			a grant of many to put
		Reduction of any maps – final copy			
	Н	*Final reports for drainage and soils (geotechnical reports)			SAN STANKER POR A STANKE
		Other bound or non-bound reports			The second secon
		Traffic studies			
X	X				A Company of the Comp
X	X				
		*Staff Reports			
		*Planning Commission staff report and exhibits			
		*City Council staff report and exhibits			
		*Summary sheet of final conditions			
		DOCUMENT DESC	RI	PT	ION:
X	X	Certificate of Occupancy – 12/29/97	X	X	Site Plan – to be scanned
X		Correspondence			Landscape Plan – to be scanned
	X	Drainage Plan – 6/5/96		X	Drainage Plan - to be scanned
	X	Agreement to Vacate Irrigation Easement – Bk 2193 / Pg 445	X		Building Elevations
X		Trade Name Affidavit – Bk 1135 / Pg 916			
	X	Planning Clearance – issued 8/20/ 96 - **			
	X	Quit Claim Deed – Bk 2259 / Pg 968			
X		Warranty Deed – Rec. No. unclear – not conveyed to City			
X	X	Location Map			
			_	Ш	
			-	_	
			$\dashv$	H	,
			_	Н	
I				L	

## SUBMITTAL CHECKLIST

## SITE PLAN REVIEW

Location: 2525 Hwy 6850 Project Name: HANSON EQUIPMENT EXPANSION

ITEMS		DISTRIBUTION																												
Date Received 6-19-96  Receipt # 4195  File # SPR-96-144  DESCRIPTION	SSID REFERENCE	<ul> <li>City Community Development</li> </ul>	<ul><li>City Dev. Eng.</li></ul>	<ul><li>City Utility Eng.</li></ul>	City Property Agent	O City Parks/Recreation	<ul> <li>City Fire Department</li> </ul>	City Attorney     City Downtown Dev Auth	O County Planning			<ul><li>● Drainage District ~ GTDD</li></ul>		O Sewer District	O U.S. West	O Public Service	O GVRP	● CDOT	Corps of Engineers	O Walker Field	O Mers County Houlth	O State Environ Hoalth	City Conitation	O City Samilation	O School Dist #51	בידא דיסנוני				13 NTOTAL
• Application Fee \$195	VII-1	1					+	Ť	Ť	+						Ť	+	+	Ť	t	t	+	+	+	Ť	t	t		7	
Submittal Checklist *  Submittal Checklist *	VII-3	1	Н	Н	$\dashv$	+	+	+	╀	╀	H	Н	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	4	+	+	+	+	+	+	╀	╁	╀	╫	+	╬	++	$\dashv$	
Review Agency Cover Sheet*	VII-3	1	Н	1	1	1	1	1 -	1 1	1	1	1	1	1	╗	+	1	╁	1	1	╫	1	╁	1	1 4	╁	╁	+	$\dashv$	
● Planning Clearance*	VII-3	1	H	H	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	+	+	╁	╁	-	Н	$\dashv$	${oldsymbol{ec{H}}}$	$\dashv$	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	╁┤	$\dashv$	
● 11"x17" Reduction of Assessor's Map	VII-1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	╗	1	+	1	1	1	+	╫	1	1 4	+	╁	╂┪	+	
Evidence of Title	VII-2	1	Н	Н	1	+	+	1	+	╁	H	Н	$\dashv$	$\forall$	$\dashv$	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	十	╁	+	+	+	╁┤	$\dashv$	
O Deeds	VII-1	1	Н	Н	1	+	+	1	╁	+	$\vdash$	H	╣	$\forall$	$\dashv$	+	+	+	+	+	+	╁	十	+	+	+	+	┼┤	$\dashv$	
· Easements - copy of easement	VII-2	1	1	1	1	十	+	1	+	+		Н	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	+	+	+	+	+	十	+	+	+	+	+	+	┼┤	$\dashv$	
O Avigation Easement	VII-1	1	Н		1	+	+	1	t	t	$\vdash$	H	-	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$^{+}$	+	+	+	+	$\dagger$	+	十	$\dagger$	+	+	╁	H	$\dashv$	
O ROW	VII-2	1	1	1	7	$\dashv$	+	1	╁	╁	$\vdash$	H	┪	$\forall$	┪	$\dashv$	+	+	+	+	十	+	十	+	$\dagger$	+	+	╀┨	十	
O Improvements Agreement/Guarantee*	VII-2	1	1	1	$\dashv$	十	$\dagger$	1	╁	╁		H	$\exists$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	_	$\dagger$	+	$\dagger$	$\dagger$	╁	十	+	$\dagger$	$\dagger$	$\dagger$	$\dagger$	H	十	
O CDOT Access Permit	VII-3	1	1	Н	H	$\dashv$	$\dagger$	+	T	╁╴	H	H	┪	Ħ	┪	$\dagger$	十	+	$\dagger$	$\dagger$	t	╁	十	十	十	$\dagger$	+	Н	1	
O Industrial Pretreatment Sign-off	VII-4	1	Н	1	Ħ	十	$\dagger$	+	T	十	$\vdash$	H	┪	Ħ	┪	十	$\dagger$	十	†	$\dagger$	$\dagger$	$\dagger$	+	十	$\dagger$	$\dagger$	十	$\Box$	1	
● General Project Report	X-7	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1 1	1	1	7	7	1	1	1	1	寸	1	1	1	1	1	1	1/	1	十	$\dagger \dagger$	$\forall$	_
● Elevation Drawing	IX-13	1	1		$\exists$	十	$\dagger$	十	╁	T		H		T	┪	+	$\dagger$	$\dagger$	t	$\top$	$\dagger$	+	†	t	Ť	+	$\dagger$	11	1	
● Site Plan	IX-29	2	2	1	1	7	1	1	1 1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	十	1	1/2	ıt	T	$\Box$	$\dashv$	_
O 11"x17" Reduction of Site Plan	IX-29		Н		Н	1	1	1	1 1	1	1	1	7	1	1	7	1	1	1	1	1	1	卝	1	1	+	+	$\dagger \dagger$	$\forall$	
● Grading and Drainage Plan	IX-16	1	2	H		$\dagger$	$\dagger$	十	†	t		1	$\dashv$	$\forall$	$\dashv$	+	+	†	1	+	T	T	T	T	t	$\dagger$	$\dagger$	⇈	$\top$	
O Storm Drainage Plan and Profile	IX-30	1	2	H		$\top$	$\top$	十	$\dagger$	T		1	$\dashv$	$\sqcap$	1	1	1	十	†	+	T	$\dagger$	†	$\dagger$	†	$\dagger$	$\dagger$	$\dagger \dagger$	$\top$	
O Water and Sewer Plan and Profile	IX-34	1	2	1	7	$\forall$	1	1	T	T		H	7	1	7	1	1	†	†	$\top$	†	Ť	T	T	Ť	†	T	11	$\dashv$	
O Roadway Plan and Profile	IX-28	1		П		寸	1	T	T	1		[1	$\Box$	$\sqcap$	1	1	1	十	T		T	1	T	T	T	1	T	$\prod$	$\neg$	
O Road Cross-Sections	IX-27	1	2				_		T								1	$\top$	Ť	1	T	T	T		1					
O Detail Sheet	IX-12	1					_	T	Τ	Γ						1	1	floor	Ţ		T	T	T		Ţ					
● Landscape Plan	IX-20	2	1	1			_										T	floor			T	Τ	T				I			
O Geotechnical Report	X-8	1						Ţ	Ι	1									T			T	T		T		$\int$		$\Box$	
● Final Drainage Report	X-5,6	1	2				J			Ι		1					Ţ		Ţ		Τ	T	I	I	$\prod$	I	Ι		$\prod$	
O Stormwater Management Plan	X-14	1	2				floor		$oxed{\mathbb{I}}$			1					Ī		1			Ι								
O Phase I and II Environmental Rerpot	X-10,11	1	1				T		Γ							T			T	T	Τ	T	T		T					
O Traffic Impact Study	X-15	1	2				J					П					1	1	T	T	Ţ	T	T		T		T	$\prod$	Ţ	
						$\top$	T	T	Τ	Γ		П		П	T	$\top$	T	T	T	$\top$	Τ	Τ	T	T	T	T	Τ	П	丁	

NOTES: \* An asterisk in the item description column indicates that a form is supplied by the City.

#### PRE-APPLICATION CONFERENCE Date: 6 14/90 Conference Attendance: C. McCallin; M. Drollincer Proposal: Honson Equipment - Blde Expansion Location: 2523 Hwy 6850 Tax Parcel Number: 2945 - 103 - 00 - 079 Review Fee: \$ 195 (Fee is due at the time of submittal. Make check payable to the City of Grand Junction.) Additional ROW required? As per deval. ers. Adjacent road improvements required? \_\_\_\_\_\_ Area identified as a need in the Master Plan of Parks and Recreation? No Parks and Open Space fees required? No Estimated Amount: Recording fees required? No \_Estimated Amount: \_ Half street improvement fees/TCP required? TCP or as per Eng. \_\_\_\_\_ Estimated Amount: \_\_\_ Revocable Permit required? No State Highway Access Permit required? YES On-site detention/retention or Drainage fee required? Yes (or-site) Applicable Plans, Policies and Guidelines Devel. Code Located in identified floodplain? FIRM panel #\_\_\_\_\_\_ Located in other geohazard area? <u>Vo</u> Located in established Airport Zone? Clear Zone, Critical Zone, Area of Influence? Avigation Easement required? No While all factors in a development proposal require careful thought, preparation and design, the following "checked" items are brought to the petitioner's attention as needing special attention or consideration. Other items of special concern may be identified during the review process. O Screening/Buffering O Land Use Compatibility O Access/Parking O Traffic Generation O Drainage O Landscaping O Availability of Utilities O Floodplain/Wetlands Mitigation O Geologic Hazards/Soils O Other Related Files: SPIZ-35-230 It is recommended that the applicant inform the neighboring property owners and tenants of the proposal prior to the public hearing and preferably prior to submittal to the City. PRE-APPLICATION CONFERENCE WE RECOGNIZE that we, ourselves, or our representative(s) must be present at all hearings relative to this proposal and it is our responsibility to know when and where those hearings are. In the event that the petitioner is not represented, the proposed item will be dropped from the agenda, and an additional fee shall be charged to cover rescheduling expenses. Such fee must be paid before the proposed item can again be placed on the agenda. Any changes to the approved plan will require a re-review and approval by the Community Development Department prior to those changes being accepted. WE UNDERSTAND that incomplete submittals will not be accepted and submittals with insufficient information, identified in the review process, which has not been addressed by the applicant, may be withdrawn from the agenda. WE FURTHER UNDERSTAND that failure to meet any deadlines as identified by the Community Development Department for the review process may result in the project not being scheduled for hearing or being pulled from the agenda.

# GENERAL PARTNERSHIP HANSON EQUIPMENT

44.38% - R. K. HANSON

44.38% - NANCY S. HANSON

5.62% - RICHARD K. LAIBLE

5.62% - PATRICIA A. LAIBLE

#### GENERAL PROJECT REPORT

PROJECT LOCATION: 2523 HWY 6 & 50

GRAND JUNCTION, CO 81505

PROJECT NAME: HANSON EQUIPMENT EXPANSION

DATE OF REPORT: JUNE 17, 1996

HANSON EQUIPMENT IS LOCATED AT 2523 HWY 6 & 50. THE LOCATION PRESENTLY OCCUPIES 7.71 ACRES. THE PROPOSED USE OF THE BUILDING EXPANSION WILL CONTINUE TO BE A SERVICE FACILITY. THE SMALL BUILDING SHOWN AT SOUTH-WEST CORNER, WILL BE USED FOR STORAGE OF USED PARTS AND STOCK INVENTORY. FENCING AROUND STORAGE AREA WILL BE SCREENED.

THE GENERAL PUBLIC WILL BENEFIT FROM EXPANDED SERVICES, AS WELL AS ADDITIONAL PREVENTATIVE CARE AND MAINTENANCE SERVICES, FOR THE HEAVY TRUCKING INDUSTRY BY REDUCING MAJOR REPAIRS THAT HAVE BEEN BROUGHT ABOUT THROUGH A LACK OF REGULAR SERVICE.

THE PROJECT IS LOCATED IN AN AREA WHICH IS PRESENTLY IN COMPLIANCE AND COMPATIBLE WITH SURROUNDING USES. LAND ADJOINING TO THE EAST IS PRESENTLY UTILIZED FOR THE SALE OF MOBILE HOMES. TO THE WEST IS VACANT LAND, WHICH IS AGRICULTURAL. THE PROPERTY IS BOARDED ON THE NORTH BY HIGHWAY 6 & 50.

SITE ACCESS AND TRAFFIC PATTERNS WILL REMAIN THE SAME.

ALL UTILITIES ARE PRESENTLY ON SITE, WITH THE NEW 8" FIRE LINE ON THE NORTH PROPERTY LINE INSTALLED BY UTE WATER AND THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION. FIRE HYDRANT LOCATIONS ARE INDICATED ON THE SITE PLAN.

THE EFFECTS ON PUBLIC FACILITIES WILL REMAIN UNCHANGED AND THERE WILL BE LITTLE, IF ANY, IMPACT ON SITE GEOLOGY.

HOURS OF OPERATION ARE AS FOLLOWS:

8:00 AM - 5:30 PM SALES

8:00 AM - 7:00 PM PARTS

8:00 AM -10:00 PM SERVICE

8:00 AM - 4:30 PM SATURDAY

NO ADDITIONAL SIGNS ARE PLANNED AT THE PRESENT THE CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE WILL BE 90 DAYS FROM START DATE TO COMPLETION OF PROJECT.

CHRIS MCCALLUM

TPI

552 25 ROAD

GRAND JUNCTION, CO 81505

243-4642

### **DRAINAGE PLAN**

June 5, 1996

HANSON EQUIPMENT 2523 U.S. Highway 6 & 50 Grand Junction, CO 81505

> Prepared For: Hanson Equipment 2523 U.S. Highway 6 & 50 Grand Junction, CO 81505

Prepared By:
HydroTerra Environmental Consulting
1179 Santa Clara Ave.
Grand Junction, CO 81503
970-242-4454

SPR-1996-144

January 27, 1998

To:

Mike Pelletier

Grand Junction Planning and Development Department

250 North 5th Street Grand Junction, CO

From:

**David Smuin** 

HydroTerra, Inc.

4221 Purdy Mesa Road Whitewater, CO 81527 FEB 3 1998

RE: HANSON EQUIPMENT, 2523 U.S. Highway 6 & 50, Grand Junction, CO 81505

The Hanson Equipment property is located on a 7.7 acre parcel of land within the City of Grand Junction at 2523 U.S. Highway 6 & 50 (the SW 1/4 of the SW 1/4 of Section 10, Township 1 North, Range 1 West, Mesa County, Colorado).

Existing development on the property prior to recent construction included an office/sales/shop. building and an asphalt display lot. The undeveloped portion of the property consisted of graveled parking areas and bare ground. The planned development included an extension of the shop building, a parts storage building, and additional paved parking areas.

Plans prepared for site plan review in 1996 included a site plan, drainage plan, and landscape plan. Subsequent to a City inspection in January, 1998, planning staff requested that the plans be revised to reflect the actual site layout and buildings constructed during the development as there appeared to be a significant difference between the planned development and the actual development. The plans have been revised and are included herein.

#### **Drainage Considerations**

The City Engineer, Kerrie Ashbeck, also requested that drainage be addressed based on the changes to the site. The original plan proposed a conversion from gravel to hard surfacing of 31,400 square feet of the lot in the form of roof area, asphalt and concrete. The actual construction resulted in approximately 12,000 square feet of hard surfacing added to the site over and above what was shown on the proposed plans (total 43,400 sq.ft.). Prior to development, the

surface was gravel which had a 100 year event runoff coefficient of around 0.87. The post-development runoff coefficient for the hard surfacing is 0.95. The increase in runoff from the 12,000 sq.ft. of additional hard surfacing should be approximately 8%. Due to the location and configuration of the additional surface area, all of the additional runoff will be generated in sub-basin B as shown on the Proposed Drainage plan. This sub-basin was approved for 100% discharge overland to the drainage ditch just south of the property.

Based on the calculations presented in the original drainage report, the development as originally proposed would result in an increased peak discharge rate of 0.39 cfs (11%) for the 2 year event and 1.0 cfs (8%) for the 100 year event. Based on the minor effects of proposed development on increased drainage flows, Hanson Equipment was granted an exemption from peak discharge control and was allowed to discharge developed runoff directly to the drainage canal along the properties southern boundary. This conclusion was supported by the following:

- I) In light of the small size of the development in comparison to the overall drainage basin of consideration, development will have a minimal impact on the outflow to the Colorado River.
- ii) Additionally, the drainage outflow of the property is near the end of the overall drainage basin (approximately 600' to the Colorado River) and peak discharge control may have a detrimental impact on the major drainage course peak discharge and capacity.
- iii) Finally, the drainage channel appears to be of more than adequate capacity to carry developed runoff safely to the Colorado River.

These criteria are still valid for the development as built and it is requested that the exemption be extended to include the project as built.

Please call if you have questions, 242-4454.

Sincerely,

David Smuin

#### **Table of Contents**

	<u>P</u>	<u>age</u>
I.	General Location and Description	. 1
II.	Existing Drainage Conditions	. 1
III.	Drainage Design Criteria	. 2
IV.	Drainage Design (developed conditions)	. 2
v.	Results and Conclusions	. 3
VI.	Certification	. 3
Appen	dix A - Time of Concentration, T <sub>e</sub> , Worksheet	
Appen	dix B - Rational Method Peak Flow Runoff Worksheet	

#### I. General Location and Description

The Hanson Equipment property is located on a 7.7 acre parcel of land within the City of Grand Junction city limits at 2523 U.S. Highway 6 & 50 (the SW 1/4 of the SW 1/4 of Section 10, Township 1 North, Range 1 West, Mesa County, Colorado). The property is bordered by a vacant lot to the west, a drainage canal to the south, a vacant lot to the east, and U.S. Highway 6 & 50 to the north. The property is not within the 100 year floodplain of the Colorado River or any other drainages.

Existing development on the property includes an office/sales/shop building and an asphalt display lot. The undeveloped portion of the property consists of graveled parking areas and bare ground. The planned development includes an extension of the shop building and additional paved parking area. Soil at the site is uncultivated SCS type B soil and is classified by the Soil Conservation Service as Green River very fine sandy loam.

#### II. Existing Drainage Conditions

The site topography and observations from the site inspection indicate that, at present, precipitation from most of the property drains southward to the drainage canal along the southern boundary of the property either via direct flow or through two drainage pipes. The site topography is relatively flat, with surface gradients that vary from approximately 0.003 to 0.007. The property was divided into 5 subbasins because flow routing for each basin is separate (see drainage plan map). The surface runoff from subbasin B flows directly to the canal, while the runoff from subbasins A and C are conveyed to the canal by two separate 12-in. diameter stormwater drains. The gradient on the pipes is greater than or equal to 0.5% and the pipes appear to be open and functioning. The pipes discharge into the drainage ditch which continues west and drains into the Colorado River approximately 200 yards downstream. Stormwater runoff from two small subbasins D and E (0.17 acres and 0.13 acres respectively) in the northeastern portion of the property ponds within the respective basins with no off-site drainage. No existing drainage concerns are apparent.

#### III. Drainage Design Criteria

Drainage design criteria are taken from the *Stormwater Management Manual* (Public Works Department, City of Grand Junction, CO; June, 1994). Reference is also made to the Appendices in the *Stormwater Management Manual* for development of several constitutive design parameters. The Rational Method is used to develop Peak runoff estimates (cfs) for both existing and post-development conditions for the 7.4-acre potion of the property that currently drains off site (subbasins A, B, and C). Peak runoff is developed for the 2-year and 100-year precipitation events for the Mesa County urbanized area. The two small basins, D and E (0.17 acres and 0.13 acres) in the northeastern portion of the property on which water ponds and does not drain off site, have not been considered in these calculations because these areas will be unaffected by the proposed development.

Peak runoff flows for four site scenarios are calculated using the *Rational Method*. The four scenarios include peak runoff flow for precipitation event frequencies of 2 years and 100 years for existing conditions and for post-development conditions. The time of concentration, T<sub>c</sub>, worksheet for each of the scenarios investigated is included for reference as Appendix A. The *Rational Method* worksheet used to calculate peak flow runoff is included for reference as Appendix B.

#### IV. Drainage Design (developed conditions)

The proposed additions to the shop building and pavement will increase the developed area of the property by approximately 0.74 acres (from an existing 1.5 acres to a proposed 2.24 acres). As shown in Appendix B, the proposed development will result in an 11% increase in the peak runoff rate for the 2 yr. precipitation event (from historic rate of 3.71 cfs to a developed rate of 4.10 cfs) and 8% for the 100 yr. precipitation event (from historic rate of 13.1 cfs to developed rate of 14.1 cfs). Approximately 46% of the runoff will drain from subbasin A and 48% will drain from subbasin B. The remaining 6% of runoff will drain from subbasin C. Subbasins D and E will remain unaffected by development and will not contribute to runoff.

#### V. Results and Conclusions

Development will result in an increased peak discharge rate of 0.39 cfs (11%) for the 2 yr event and 1.0 cfs (8%) for the 100 yr. event. Based on the minor increase in developed drainage flows, an exemption from peak discharge control is requested for this development. The exemption would allow Hanson Equipment to discharge developed runoff directly to the drainage canal along the properties southern boundary. This request is further supported as follows:

- In light of the small size of the development in comparison to the overall drainage basin
  of consideration, development will have minimal impact on the outflow to the Colorado
  River.
- Additionally, the drainage outflow for the property is near the end of the overall drainage basin (approximately 600' from the Colorado River) and peak discharge control may negatively impact the drainage basin by delaying discharge that would have already reached the river before the rest of the basin outflow has peaked.
- 3) The existing drainage conveyances for subbasins A, B, and C are adequate to carry the developed runoff without modification.
- 4) Finally, the drainage channel appears to be more than adequate to carry developed runoff safely to the Colorado River.

#### VI. Certification

I, David R. Smuin, hereby certify this report was completed by myself or under my direct supervision and has been prepared in accordance with good hydrology practices.

Seal



David R. Smuin-Hydrologist

Date

6/13/96



APPENDIX A

Time of Concentration,  $T_{\rm c}$ , Worksheet

#### Time of Concentration, T., Worksheet

Project:

Hanson Equipment

**Site Condition:** 

Existing conditions
David R. Smuin

Prepared by:

June 5, 1996

Date:

(The table below is an adaption of a worksheet provided in the SCS TR-55)

This table may be used in subbasin T, calculations, or for travel time of subbasin runoff through a lower subbasin reach (T),

Use only channel flow for T, calculations

STORM FREQUENCY		2 YEAR	100 YEAR
	AREA IDENTIFIER	None	None
REACH	SEGMENT IDENTIFICATION		
	T, OR T, THROUGH BASIN REACH		
	SURFACE DESCRIPTION (TABLE E-1)	Bere pecked soil	Bare packed soil
	"N" VALUE (TABLE E-1)	0.10	0.10
OVERLAND FLOW	FLOW LENGTH, L (TOTAL < 300 FT.) (ft.)	150	150
OVERTED PEOW	LAND SLOPE, S (R.M.)	0.005	0.005
	To (min.) (TABLE E-2, OR FIGURE E-1)	23	14
	SURFACE DESCRIPTION (FIGURE E-3)	Nearly bare and untilled	Nearly bare and untilled
	FLOW LENGTH, L (ft.)	150	150
SHALLOW CONCENTRATED FLOW	FLOW SLOPE, S (ft.m.)	0.005	0.005
	FLOW VELOCITY, V (FIGURE E-3) (fps)	0.7	0.7
	TRAVEL TIME T = L(60V) (min.)	3.6	3.6
	PIPE DIAMETER, d (ft)	1.0	1.0
	CHANNEL SLOPE, S (A.M.)	0.005	0.005
	MANNINGS COEFFICIENT, n (APPENDIX F)	0.024	0.024
	V = 0.59d <sup>27</sup> S <sup>22</sup> /n (fps) (APPENDIX H)	1.74	1.74
PIPE FLOW	ASSUMED VELOCITY (fps)	1.7	1.7
	FLOW LENGTH, L (ft.)	340	340
	TRAVEL TIME T = L/(60V) (min.)	3.3	33
T	T_=T_+T_+T (min.)	30	21

# NOTE - Table and all referenced tables, figures, and appendices from <u>Stormwater Management Manual</u>, <u>Public Works Department</u>, <u>City of Grand Junction</u>, <u>June</u>, <u>1994</u>

Time of concentration was calculated for the longest flow path in subbasin A, starting in the southwest corner and flowing northeast to the inlet of the 12 inch CMP, thence through the pipe to the drainage channel along the southern property boundary. The flow lengths used were 150 ft overland and 150 ft shallow concentrated flow.

#### Time of Concentration, T., Worksheet

Project:

Hanson Equipment

Site Condition:

Post-development

Prepared by:

David R. Smuin

Date:

June 5, 1996

(The table below is an adaption of a worksheet provided in the SCS TR-55) This table may be used in subbasin  $T_{\star}$  calculations, or for travel time of subbasin runoff through a lower subbasin reach (T), Use only channel flow for  $T_{\star}$  calculations

STORM FREQUENCY		2 YEAR	100 YEAR			
310441112202101	AREA IDENTIFIER	None	None			
REACH	SEGMENT IDENTIFICATION					
	T, OR T, THROUGH BASIN REACH					
	SURFACE DESCRIPTION (TABLE E-1)	Bare packed soil	Bare packed soil			
	"N" VALUE (TABLE E-1)	0.10	0.10			
	FLOW LENGTH, L (TOTAL < 300 FT.) (ft.)	150	150			
OVERLAND FLOW	LAND SLOPE, S (A.M.)	0.005	0.005			
	To (min.) (TABLE E-2, OR FIGURE E-1)	23	14			
	SURFACE DESCRIPTION (FIGURE E-3)	Nearly bare and untilled	Nearly bare and untilled			
	FLOW LENGTH, L (ft.)	150	150			
SHALLOW CONCENTRATED FLOW	FLOW SLOPE, S (ft.ft.)	0.005	0.005			
	FLOW VELOCITY, V (FIGURE E-3) (fps)	0.7	0.7			
	TRAVEL TIME T = L(60V) (min.)	3.6	3.6			
	PIPE DIAMETER, d (ft)	1.0	1.0			
	CHANNEL SLOPE, S (fl.fl.)	0.005	0.005			
	MANNINGS COEFFICIENT, n (APPENDIX F)	0.024	0.024			
	$V = 0.59d^{2/3}S^{w2}/n \text{ (fps) (APPENDIX H)}$	1.74	1.74			
PIPE FLOW	ASSUMED VELOCITY (fps)	1.7	1.7			
	FLOW LENGTH, L (ft.)	340	340			
	TRAVEL TIME T = L(60V) (min.)	3.3	3.3			
T	T_=T_+T_+T_ (min.)	30	21			

NOTE - Table and all referenced tables, figures, and appendices from <u>Stormwater Management Manual</u>, <u>Public Works Department</u>, <u>City of Grand Junction</u>, <u>June</u>, <u>1994</u>.

Development resulted in no change to flow path, thus, the time does not change from current conditions to developed conditions.

# APPENDIX B RATIONAL METHOD PEAK FLOW RUNOFF WORKSHEET

#### Rational Method Peak Flow Runoff Worksheet

Project:
Prepared by:

Hanson Equipment David R. Smuin

Date:

June 5, 1996

SITE CON	SITE CONDITION: EXISTING CONDITIONS														
BASIN	1	AREA			NOFF CIENT', C										
	SURFACE TYPE	SCS GROUP	ACREAGE, A	C 42	C										
	Traffic area (soil and gravel)	В	3.9	0.64	0.72										
All	Bare ground	В	2.0	0.18	0.24										
	Pevement/Roof	В	1.5	0.93	0.95										
				RUI	HTED OFF HENT, C		TRATION T <sub>c</sub> (min.)		ISITY <sup>3</sup> , I <i>I</i> hr.)		RUNOFF "iA <sub>1</sub> (cfs)				
				C e2	C,,,	Toez	Tosse	i <sub>s</sub>	i,,,	Q.,	Q,,,				
			7.4	0.57	0.64	30	21	0.88	2.77	3.71	13.1				

- Rational Method runoff coefficients taken from Table B-1, Stormwater Management Manual,
  Public Works Department, City of Grand Junction, June, 1994
- <sup>2</sup> Time of Concentration as derived in attached Appendix A worksheet
- <sup>3</sup> Intensity taken from Table A-1, <u>Stormwater Management Manual</u>, <u>Public Works</u>
  <u>Department</u>, <u>City of Grand Junction</u>, <u>June</u>, <u>1994</u>

Subbasins A, B, and C were combined for purposes of these calculations. The ratio of the area of each subbasin to the total area was used to determine the peak runoff from each subbasin discussed in the text.

#### Rational Method Peak Flow Runoff Worksheet

Project:

Hansen Equipment

Prepared by:

David R. Smuin

Date:

June 5, 1996

SITE CONI	SITE CONDITION: POST-DEVELOPMENT														
BASIN	A	REA			NOFF CIENT', C										
	SURFACE TYPE	SCS GROUP	ACREAGE, A	C <sub>02</sub>	C <sub>100</sub>										
	Traffic area (soil and gravel)	В	3.56	0.64	0.72										
	Bare ground	В	1.6	0.18	0.24										
	Pavement/Roof	В	2.24	0.93	0.95										
	·			RUI	GHTED NOFF CIENT, Cw		TRATION T <sub>c</sub> (min.)		ISITY <sup>1</sup> , i ./hr.)		RUNOFF				
				C <sub>m</sub>	C <sub>100</sub>	Tonz	T <sub>C1M</sub>	i <sub>62</sub>	i,00	Q <sub>22</sub>	Que				
			7.4	0.63	0.69	30	21	0.88	2.77	4.10	14.1				

- <sup>1</sup> Rational Method runoff coefficients taken from Table B-1, Stormwater Management Manual, Public Works Department, City of Grand Junction, June, 1994
- <sup>2</sup>- Time of Concentration as derived in attached Appendix A worksheet
- Intensity taken from Table A-1, <u>Stormwater Management Manual, Public Works</u>
  <u>Department, City of Grand Junction, June, 1994</u>

Subbasins A, B, and C were combined for purposes of these calculations, and the ratio of the area of each subbasin to the total area was used to determine the peak runoff from each subbasin discussed in the text

#### **REVIEW COMMENTS**

Page 1 of 2

**FILE #SPR-96-144** 

TITLE HEADING: Hanson Equipment

LOCATION:

2523 Highway 6 & 50

**PETITIONER:** 

Bob Hanson

PETITIONER'S ADDRESS/TELEPHONE:

2525 Highway 6 & 50

Grand Junction, CO 81505

243-7771

PETITIONER'S REPRESENTATIVE:

Chris McCallum, TPI

STAFF REPRESENTATIVE:

Mike Pelletier

NOTE: THE PETITIONER IS REQUIRED TO SUBMIT FOUR (4) COPIES OF WRITTEN RESPONSE AND REVISED DRAWINGS ADDRESSING ALL REVIEW COMMENTS.

### CITY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

6/28/96

Mike Pelletier

244-1451

- 1. They area between the proposed parts storage and the new paved parking lot can be graveled as shown, if there will be less than 30 vehicle trips per day to the parts building. If the number of trips is greater than 30, then it must be paved according to section 5-1-4-A-1 of the Zoning & Development Code. The intent of this requirement is to avoid creating an undue amount of dust. Respond in writing as to the expected number of vehicles per day traveling between the proposed parts storage building and the proposed paved parking lot.
- 2. If revised plans are needed due to staff comments, please submit four copies of revised, stamped plans with your response to comments. If the plans do not need revision, submit three copies.
- 3. Site improvements (including landscaping) must be constructed in accordance with the approved plans. Any modifications must be approved, in writing and/or with revised plans, by the Community Development Department. Failure to install site improvements as per the approved plans may delay the issuance of a certificate of occupancy.

#### CITY DEVELOPMENT ENGINEER

6/26/96

Jody Kliska

**244-1591** 

1. As previously requested, dedication of Independent Ave. right of will be required. The drawing shows a 30' road easement. Independent Ave. is designated a bike route in the multi-modal plan. The street is designated a commercial street section, requiring a full right of way width of 52'. City code allows right of way dedication to be credited toward the TCP.

#### **CITY UTILITY ENGINEER**

7/3/96

**Trent Prall** 

244-1590

Please contact Jodi Romero of the City Customer Service Division at 244-1580 for potential changes in sewer plant investment fees.

#### SPR-96-144 / REVIEW COMMENTS / page 2 of 2

#### CITY FIRE DEPARTMENT

7/2/96

#### Hank Masterson

244-1414

- One on-site fire hydrant will be required. Locate in 6" curb area north of 37 proposed parking spaces. This hydrant must be supplied by a minimum 8" fire line.
- 2. The proposed 5,000 square foot addition is required to be protected by a fire sprinkler system.
- 3. Submit complete sealed plans for the proposed addition and for the parts storage building to the fire department for our review and approval.

#### MESA COUNTY BUILDING DEPARTMENT

6/19/96

Dan Davis

244-1651

A building permit must be obtained, and all code requirements must be met. The truck repair building would need to be sprinkled upon first review. Please contact me if you have any questions.

#### **GRAND JUNCTION DRAINAGE DISTRICT**

6/25/96

John Ballagh

244-4343

The Drainage District does not have any known existing of planned facilities which are where the building addition is proposed.

#### CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT

6/25/96

Dave Stassen

244-3587

All storage and open areas should be lit with some type of pole light. The addition should be lit with security lighting (on a photo cell ) on all three sides.

#### CITY PROPERTY AGENT

7/2/96

**Steve Pace** 

256-4003

No final plat to review.

#### **UTE WATER**

7/1/96

Gary Mathews

242-7491

No objections. Waiting for Fire Department comments. Policies and fees in effect at the time of application will apply.

#### TO DATE, NO COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM:

City Attorney
Grand Valley Irrigation
Colorado Department of Transportation
Corp of Engineers



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

U.S. ARMY ENGINEER DISTRICT, SACRAMENTO CORPS OF ENGINEERS

1325 J STREET

SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814-2922

July 3, 1996

Regulatory Branch (199675303)

Mr. Michael Drollinger City of Grand Junction Community Development Department 250 North 5th Street Grand Junction, Colorado 81505

Dear Mr. Drollinger:

We are responding to your written request for comment on the Hanson Equipment Expansion. The property is located within Section 10, Township 1 South, Range 1 West, Mesa County, Colorado.

Based on a site inspection by Mr. Randy Snyder of this office on July 2, 1996, we have determined that the proposed expansion will not require a Department of the Army permit.

We have assigned number 199675303 to this project. Please refer to this number in any correspondence with this office. If you have any questions, please write to Mr. Snyder or telephone (970) 243-1199.

Sincerely,

Ken Jacobson

Chief, Southwestern Colorado

Regulatory Office

402 Rood Avenue, Room 142

Grand Junction, Colorado 81501-2563

Copy Furnished:

Mr. Chris McCallum, TPI, 552 25 Road, Grand Junction, Colorado 81505

#### RESPONSE TO REVIEW COMMENTS

FILE #SPR-96-144

Location: 2523 Hwy 6 & 50 Petitioner: Bob Hanson

Petitioner's Address/Telephone: C/O Chris McCallum

Grand Junction, CO 81505

243-4642

Petitioner's Representative: Chris McCallum, TPI

Staff Representative: Mike Pelletier Response Submitted: July 11, 1996

h++City Community Development, Mike Pelletier+++
No more than 20 vehicles per day is expected at this time.
Four copies of revised stamped plans are included.

+++City Development Engineer, Jody Kliska+++
Per your request, see attached copy of legal description.

+++City Utility Engineer, Trent Prall+++
Jodi Romero has been contacted, we are aware of plant
investment fees.

+++City Fire Department, Hank Masterson+++
Complete set of plans will be submitted for review.

+++Mesa County Building Department, Dan Davis+++
We have contacted Mr. Davis and will send him a complete set of
building plans and obtain a building permit.

+++Grand Junction Drainage District, John Ballagh+++
No response necessary.

+++City Police Department, Dan Stassen+++
Storage buildings will have photo cell lights on three sides.

Sincerely,

Chris McCallum

#### CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION/MESA COUNTY, COLORADO BUILDING DEPARTMENT

Permit Number:

58768

12/29/97

Units:

0 Permit Type: BEMP

Jurisdiction: GRAND JUNCTION

Permission is hereby granted to BOB HANSON

to occupy the building situated at:

02523 00 HWY 6 & 50

Lot No.:

0

Block No.:

0

Filing No.:

Subdivision:

Tax Schedule No.: 2945-103-00-079

for the following purpose: expanding shop

This Certificate issued in conformity to Section 109, Uniform Building Code

#### CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION/MESA COUNTY, COLORADO BUILDING DEPARTMENT

Permit Number:

58768

12/29/97

Units:

Permit Type: BEMP

Jurisdiction: GRAND JUNCTION

Permission is hereby granted to BOB HANSON to occupy the building situated at:

02523 00 HWY 6 & 50

Lot No.:

Filing No.:

Subdivision:

Block No.:

Tax Schedule No.: 2945-103-00-079

for the following purpose: expanding shop

This Certificate issued in conformity to Section 109, Uniform Building Code

# Hansen Equipment - SPR 96-144 2523 Hwy 6 & 50









